# MICROBIAL COMMUNITY ANALYSIS COUPLED WITH GEOCHEMICAL STUDIES REVEAL FACTORS AFFECTING BIOTIC MN(II) OXIDATION IN SITU

# A Thesis by MARA LEE CASHAY CLOUTIER

Submitted to the Graduate School at Appalachian State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE

> August 2016 Department of Biology

# MICROBIAL COMMUNITY ANALYSIS COUPLED WITH GEOCHEMICAL STUDIES REVEAL FACTORS AFFECTING BIOTIC MN(II) OXIDATION IN SITU

A Thesis by MARA LEE CASHAY CLOUTIER August 2016

APPROVED BY:

Suzanna L Bräuer, Ph.D. Chairperson, Thesis Committee

Sarah K. Carmichael, Ph.D. Member, Thesis Committee

Mike D. Madritch, Ph.D. Member, Thesis Committee

Zack Murrell, Ph.D. Chairperson, Department of Biology

Max C. Poole, Ph.D. Dean, Cratis D. Williams School of Graduate Studies Copyright by Mara Lee Cashay Cloutier 2016 All Rights Reserved

# Abstract

# MICROBIAL COMMUNITY ANALYSIS COUPLED WITH GEOCHEMICAL STUDIES REVEAL FACTORS AFFECTING BIOTIC MN(II) OXIDATION IN SITU

Mara Lee Cashay Cloutier B.S., University of North Carolina-Wilmington M.S., Appalachian State University

Chairperson: Suzanna L. Bräuer

Identifying the factors that affect biogeochemical cycling has important implications for maintaining ecosystems that are susceptible to anthropogenic input such as cave systems. The oligotrophic and carbon-limiting conditions of most cave environments can limit microbial growth and other processes, yet microbes can actively participate in the biogeochemical cycling of elements, including Mn. The extent to which the type, quality and quantity of carbon can modulate the pathways and degree/amount of Mn cycling in caves is largely unknown. Herein, microbial community analyses coupled with geochemical analyses have constrained the specific environmental parameters that may be affecting the oxidation of Mn(II) in caves. Bacterial, archaeal, and fungal communities associated with Mn(III/IV) oxide deposits were assessed in both relatively pristine as well as anthropogenically impacted caves in the southern Appalachians. Differences observed in the bacterial and archaeal community structure before stimulation appeared to be driven by long-term exogenous carbon loading from either anthropogenic sources or natural carbon sources. In contrast, fungal community composition at sites experiencing pulses of exogenous carbon were similar to sites recycling endogenous carbon, suggesting that fungal assemblages are independent of carbon infiltration.

Cave sites were amended with various carbon sources that are commonly associated with anthropogenic input in order to determine whether exogenous input such as lint, food crumbs, wood and/or sewage would stimulate biotic Mn(II) oxidation *in situ*. Carbon treatments that stimulated Mn(II) oxidation resulted in significant changes to the microbial communities, indicating that anthropogenic input can both enhance biotic Mn(II) oxidation and potentially shape community structure and diversity. Geochemical analyses of sediment substrates suggest that some biotic Mn(II) oxidation pathways, like MnP mediated oxidation, can be limited by carbon and low C:N ratios.

Additional carbon sources amended with copper were incubated at cave sites to test the role that Cu(II) plays in *in sit*u biotic Mn(II) oxidation. Bacterially selective Mn(II)oxidizer media supplemented with 100  $\mu$ M Cu(II) inhibited Mn(II) oxidation, whereas the fungally selective media with Cu(II) stimulated Mn(II) oxidation. Thus, it was inferred that the bacteria in these cave systems were using superoxide to oxidize Mn(II) while fungi were using MCO enzymes for Mn(II) oxidation.

#### Acknowledgments

I am grateful for my advisor, Dr. Suzanna L Bräuer, for providing me with the opportunity to be a part of her laboratory at Appalachian State University. I am also appreciative of my co-advisor Dr. Sarah K. Carmichael. Both Dr. Bräuer and Dr. Carmichael have been supportive of my quest for funding and my research endeavors. They have helped to guide me through many difficult tasks including writing grant proposals and data analysis. I am also thankful for my committee member, Dr. Mike Madritch, for his financial and analytical support.

I am appreciative of Dr. Guichuan Hu who has been instrumental in helping me with sample preparation and SEM imaging of my fungal isolates. I am also thankful for Dr. Chuanhui Gu for his help performing IC analyses and for allowing me to borrow his YSI handheld multimeter probe. I would like to thank Travis Hartney for performing geochemical analyses on samples. I am grateful for the help of Michael Carson who monumentally aided me with data analysis from Illumina amplicon sequencing.

I want to thank Javier Cattle, Michael Rojas-Steinbacker, Andrew Hughes and Jacob Montgomery for their help with field work and isolating and identifying Mn(II)-oxidizing cultures. I am deeply grateful to Jon Rossi, Milton Starnes, and Melanie Hoff for their guidance and access to their caves. I would also like to thank Austin Harbison for his patience, comradery, and understanding over the past two years.

vi

I would also like to thank the Office of Student Research and Travel Grants, the Cratis D. Williams Graduate Research Grants, Graduate Student Association Senate, and Creating a Healthy, Just and Sustainable Society Student Research Grant at Appalachian State University. I am also grateful to the Appalachian Women Scientists for their support. I am thankful to have received the Philip M. Smith Graduate Research Grant for Cave and Karst Research from the Cave Research Foundation. I am also grateful to have received a Graduate Student Research Grant and an On to the Future Travel Award from the Geological Society of America. I am also grateful to the Appalachian Women Scientists, a grant awarded to Dr. Bräuer.

Finally, I would like to thank my mother, Vicki Cloutier, and boyfriend, Matthew Green, for their patience, encouragement and support throughout this process.

# **Table of Contents**

Abstract	iv
Acknowledgments	vi
List of Tables	x
List of Figures	xi
Chapter 1: Background	1
Carbon in Caves	4
Human Impacts on Biotic Mn(II) Oxidation	5
Chapter 2: Methods	8
Sample Sites	8
Baseline Community Sampling	8
Carbon Stimulation	9
Bacterial and Archaeal Community Analysis	10
Fungal Community Analysis	11
Culturing	12
Electron Microscopy	12
Geochemical and Mineralogical Analysis	13
Water Analysis	14
Chapter 3: Results	16
Baseline Prokaryotic Community Analysis	16
Baseline Fungal Community Analysis	17
Geochemical Analyses	19
Water Chemistry	20
Carbon Incubations	20
Prokaryotic Communities after Stimulation	21
Fungal Communities after Stimulation	23
Mn(II)-Oxidizing Isolates	26
Chapter 4: Discussion	27
Microbial Community Analysis Prior to Carbon Treatments	27
Prokaryotic Community Responses to the Stimulation of Mn(II) Oxidation	31
Fungal Community Responses to the Stimulation of Mn(II) Oxidation	33

Environmental Parameters Affecting Biotic Mn(II) Oxidation in situ	36
Chapter 5: Conclusion	40
References	76
Vita	92

# List of Tables

Table 1. Sites where carbon sources were incubated	41
Table 2. Alpha-diversity estimates at sites at CSPC, DBC, and RCCR.	42
Table 3. PERMANOVA pairwise comparisons of microbial communities.	44
Table 4. Water chemistry field measurements at CSPC, DBC, and RCCR.	46
Table 5. Top three BLAST hits for isolated cultures.	48
Table 6. Taxonomic assignments of previously isolated Mn(II)-oxidizing bacteria	50
Table 7. Taxonomic assignments of previously isolated Mn(II)-oxidizing fungi	53

# List of Figures

Figure 1. Maps of CSPC, DBC, and RCCR
Figure 2. Phyla-level distribution of bacterial and archaeal communities
Figure 3. Order-level distribution of bacterial and archaeal communities
Figure 4. Beta-diversity analysis of baseline bacterial and archaeal communities
Figure 5. Phyla-level distribution of baseline fungal communities60
Figure 6. Order-level distribution of fungal communities61
Figure 7. Genera-level distribution of fungal communities
Figure 8. Beta-diversity analysis of baseline fungal communities63
Figure 9. PCA of geochemical analysis63
Figure 10.Geochemical analysis of site at CSPC, DBC, and RCCR64
Figure 11. Nutrient concentrations65
Figure 12. Ion concentrations from water samples
Figure 13. Log transformed TOC concentrations67
Figure 14. Heatmap of the four most abundant bacterial and archaeal OTUs68
Figure 15. Beta-diversity analysis of sites before and after casamino acid treatments69
Figure 16. Beta-diversity analysis of sites before and after arabinose treatments
Figure 17. Beta-diversity analysis of sites before and after succinic acid treatments70
Figure 18. Heatmap of the most abundant fungal OTUs71
Figure 19. Beta-diversity of fungal communities before and after sawdust treatments72
Figure 20. Beta-diversity of fungal communities before and after treatment with simple
sugars72

Figure 21. SEM and EDAX of Mn(II)-oxidizing Acremonium spp	73
Figure 22. SEM and EDAX of Mn(II)-oxidizing Alternaria and Dictyosporium	n sp74
Figure 23. SEM and EDAX of Mn(II)-oxidizing Plectosphaerella and Pleospherella	orales sp.75

# **Chapter 1: Background**

Mn(III/IV) oxides are ubiquitous in nature and have the potential to be used as bioindicators of exogenous carbon input in ecosystems that are generally considered oligotrophic (Bastian et al. 2010; Carmichael, Carmichael, Strom, et al. 2013; Carmichael et al. 2015). Reduced Mn(II) can be transformed to Mn(III/IV) oxides through abiotic or microbially catalyzed oxidation reactions. Following their discovery nearly 80 years ago (Gerretson 1937), biologically mediated Mn(III/IV) oxides have been found in many different environments (Carmichael and Brauer 2015; Dick et al. 2009; Santelli et al. 2010). Abiotic oxidation of Mn(II) with O<sub>2</sub> is thermodynamically unfavorable at the pH levels most commonly found in the natural environment (Luther 2010), but biotic Mn(II) oxidation can be up to several orders of magnitude faster than abiotic oxidation (Tebo et al. 2004). There are more than 30 known Mn oxide minerals (Post 1999); the biologically-mediated Mn oxide minerals include birnessite, todokorite, buserite, hausmannite, and other poorly crystalline Mn<sub>x</sub>O<sub>x</sub> complexes. Mn(II) oxidation occurs either by membrane/cell surface-associated processes, which results in Mn(III/IV) oxides surrounding cells (Carmichael, Carmichael, Santelli, et al. 2013; Carmichael et al. 2015; Larsen et al. 1999; Santelli et al. 2011; Soldatova et al. 2012; Tang et al. 2013), or extracellular processes, which results in Mn(III/IV) oxides deposited among extracellular matrices (Carmichael, Carmichael, Santelli, et al. 2013; Santelli et al. 2011; Tang et al. 2013). Leading researchers to propose that the enzymatic pathway utilized by the microorganism to oxidize Mn(II) can be inferred by where the oxides are deposited within cultures, so if oxides surround the microbial cell then Mn(II) is being oxidized by a cell-surface associated enzyme (Santelli et al. 2011; Tang et al. 2013).

Among the soluble forms of manganese in surface and groundwater environments, Mn(II) was previously thought to predominate, although new detection methods have shown that Mn(III) may represent up to 90-100% of the total Mn in anoxic waters or sediments when complexed with specific ligands (Madison et al. 2013; Trouwborst et al. 2006). These ligands may include pyrophosphate or siderophores such as desferrioxamine B (Harrington et al. 2012; Madison et al. 2013; Soldatova et al. 2012; Trouwborst et al. 2006). Mn(IV) is completely insoluble and is found as part of Mn oxide or oxyhydroxide minerals (Tebo et al. 2004). Biotic Mn(II)  $\rightarrow$  Mn(III) oxidation appears to be followed by either an abiotic or biotic  $Mn(III) \rightarrow Mn(IV)$  oxidation reaction since Mn(III) is typically unstable and will quickly reduce or oxidize to form a more stable complex (Duckworth et al. 2008; Hansel and Francis 2006; Learman et al. 2013; Su et al. 2014). Biogenic Mn(III/IV) oxides can affect the cycling of other elements like Zn, Co, Pb and As by sequestration (Chang et al. 2014; Sasaki et al. 2008; Yin et al. 2011). The instability of Mn(III) coupled with the highly oxidative, reactive and sorptive properties of biogenic Mn(III/IV) oxides contributes to the environmental and economic importance of Mn cycling (see review by Carmichael and Brauer 2015; Post 1999).

Despite decades of research, the reasons for biotic Mn(II) oxidation have long been uncertain. Some Mn(II)-oxidizing microbes become encased in biologically mediatedMn(III/IV) oxides (Ghiorse and Hirsch 1979; Santelli et al. 2011; Soldatova et al. 2012; Tang et al. 2013), leading researchers to propose that these Mn(III/IV) oxide coatings may act as a protective mechanism against a variety of environmental threats (Akob et al. 2014; Banh et al. 2013). Mn(III/IV) oxide coatings may also provide a selective advantage in habitats with abundant heavy metal ions (Akob et al. 2014). Mn(II) can also act as an antioxidant when incorporated inside cells by protecting cellular functions via reducing reactive oxygen species (ROS), a product formed during cellular respiration (Barnese et al. 2012; Sobota and Imlay 2011). Sunda and Kieber (1994) suggest that Mn(II) oxidation may provide an evolutionary and/or competitive advantage because Mn(III/IV) oxides can degrade humic substances into smaller organic substrates that can be used for microbial growth. Fungal Mn(II) oxidation has been correlated with fungal virulence of a plant pathogenic species, *Gaeumannoyces graminis* var. *tritici* isolate 1079-1 (Thompson et al. 2006). Still, some researchers have proposed that Mn(II) oxidation is a byproduct of other reactions and serves no evolutionary advantage (Hansel et al. 2012; Learman et al. 2011).

Bacterial Mn(II) oxidation has been linked to all of the following: production of superoxide (Learman et al. 2011), haeme-peroxidases (Wariishi et al. 1992), bacterially produced siderophores (Duckworth et al. 2009; Harrington et al. 2012; Parker et al. 2007) and/or multicopper oxidase (MCO) enzymes (Butterfield et al. 2013; Dick et al. 2009; Geszvain et al. 2013; Soldatova et al. 2012; Su et al. 2014). Even within the same organism, evidence suggests that multiple mechanisms for Mn(II) oxidation may be employed under different conditions. For example, strains of *Pseudomonas putida* that can oxidize Mn(II) contain two genes which encode for enzymes implicated in Mn(II) oxidation, McoA and MnxG (Geszvain et al. 2013). Single deletions of either gene in Mn(II)-oxidizing strains of *P. putida* results in different Mn(II)-oxidizing activity when grown either on liquid media or on solid agar, indicating that the MnxG gene may oxidize Mn(II) during planktonic cellular growth and McoA may oxidize Mn(II) while in biofilm states (Geszvain et al. 2013). The diversity of genes and pathways involved suggests a large degree of variability among processes and purposes for Mn(II) oxidation among bacteria.

Mn(II)-oxidizing fungi have been identified as Ascomycetes, Basidiomycetes, or Zygomycetes and the Mn(II)-oxidizing pathways utilized by fungi vary between these phyla. Ascomycetes can oxidize Mn(II) through the production of MCO enzymes (Hofer and Schlosser 1999; Miyata, Maruo, et al. 2006; Soldatova et al. 2012; Thompson et al. 2006) or superoxide (Hansel et al. 2012; Tang et al. 2013), while manganese peroxidase (MnP) is the main enzymatic mechanism used by Basidiomycetes and one Zygomycete (Bonugli-Santos et al. 2009; Kuan et al. 1993; Palma et al. 2000; Schlosser and Hofer 2002; Urzua et al. 1998; Wariishi et al. 1992). Typically, mycogenic Mn(III/IV) oxides are deposited either directly onto hyphae, onto other minerals, or into extracellular matrices (Santelli et al. 2011; Tang et al. 2013), resulting in diverse types of Mn(III/IV) oxide deposits among fungal species (Akob et al. 2014; Santelli et al. 2011; Tang et al. 2013).

# Carbon in Caves

Carbon can be the limiting factor for biotic reactions occurring in subterranean environments that are not chemolithoautotrophic (Carmichael et al. 2015). Depending on cave morphology, carbon sources can potentially infiltrate the caves through drip water networks, underground water sources, or flooding. Studies addressing carbon infiltration through water sources in caves have focused on the effects of dissolved organic matter (DOM) or sewage (Birdwell and Engel 2010; Carmichael, Carmichael, Strom, et al. 2013; Simon and Buikema Jr 1997; van Beynen et al. 2000). Simon and Buikema Jr (1997) found that bacterial biomass was increased in pools that were polluted with sewage, while fungal biomass was trivial. Carmichael, Carmichael, Strom, et al. (2013) found that sewage input stimulated bacterial growth and Mn(II) oxidation. DOM can also infiltrate cave systems

through drip water networks and include terrigenous or autochthonous carbon sources. Terrigenous carbon sources include humic or humic-like compounds that are derived from humus, coal or peat and are generally considered to recalcitrant. Autochthonouse carbon sources found in cave systems includes proteins such as tyrosine and tryptophan and are considered to be a labile carbon source (Birdwell and Engel 2010; van Beynen et al. 2000). DOM entering limestone aquifers and cave systems may have a greater proportion of proteinlike DOM than humic or humic-like DOM (Birdwell and Engel 2010; van Beynen et al. 2000). Microbes can catabolize DOM in stagnant pools in cave systems (Shabarova et al. 2014). The composition of DOM entering dolomite hosted bedrock caves (commonly found throughout the southern Appalachian Mountains) remains unknown.

Carbon can also be introduced to cave ecosystems through recreational caving and includes trash, human waste, shedding of skin cells/hair, and lint from clothing. Researchers have found significant differences among microbial communities associated with areas that have heavy foot traffic in comparison to areas of the caves that are closed or have limited access (Ikner et al. 2007; Shapiro and Pringle 2010). Several studies have found that species richness decreased at sites that were heavily impacted by humans (Ikner et al. 2007; Shapiro & Pringle 2010). Human traffic can have contrasting impacts on colony forming units (Griffin et al. 2014; Mulec et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2010).

## Human Impacts on Biotic Mn(II) Oxidation

Mn(III/IV) oxides have been described from caves across multiple continents. While much of their origin remains uncertain, several studies have suggested that biotic Mn(II) oxidation in caves is stimulated when exogenous carbon enters the cave. Mn(II)-oxidizing

bacteria and fungi have been cultured from various carbon sources associated with anthropogenic input and include batteries, electrical tape, socks, fireworks and Mn(III/IV) oxide deposits that were associated with sewage infiltration into Cater Saltpeter Cave in the southern Appalachian Mountains (Carmichael, Carmichael, Strom, et al. 2013). Another example of anthropogenic impacts on microbial communities and processes includes Lascaux Cave, France, were a fungal outbreak that was synchronous with the appearance of black stains (Jurado et al. 2010). Further research of the black stains revealed that species within the genera, *Ochroconis*, were in part responsible for the stains. Researchers speculated that the stains were due to fungal growth which was stimulated with the addition of carbon sources, possibly from biocide treatments (Bastian et al. 2010). Culture studies from those Mn(III/IV) oxide deposits in Lascaux Cave found that an Acremonium species was in part, responsible for the oxides observed (Saiz-Jiminez et al. 2012). More recently, Carmichael et al. (2015) incubated cave sites with simple carbon compounds to stimulate Mn(II) oxidation *in situ* and found that fungal assemblages significantly increased in Zygomycota but decreased in both Ascomycota and Basidiomycota abundances.

This study further addresses how humans may affect the biogeochemical cycling of Mn in cave systems through a variety of *in situ* carbon incubations, comparison of microbial communities before and after treatments and identification of exogenous and endogenous nutrient sources that may be inhibiting or enhancing biotic Mn(II) oxidation. We hypothesized that Mn(II) oxidation *in situ* would be stimulated with 1) organic acids that are commonly associated with sewage contamination, and 2) simple sugars and complex carbon sources that are associated with common cave litter. Constraining factors that affect Mn cycling in cave systems is of importance, as Mn(III/IV) oxides can sequester heavy metals

and can potentially be used as a bioindicator of anthropogenic input in both soils and in groundwater sources.

# **Chapter 2: Methods**

# Sample Sites

Four caves within the upper Knox Dolomite were chosen for this study: Carter Saltpeter Cave (CSPC), Daniel Boone Caverns (DBC), Obey's Creek (OC) and Rye Cove-Cox Ridge (RCCR) (Figure 1). CSPC and OC are considered to be impacted caves, while DBC and RCCR are classified as near-pristine caves (sensu- Carmichael et al. 2015). Samples were also taken for a limited, undergraduate-based culturing study from Cranberry Iron Mine, Cranberry, N.C., which a part of the Cranberry Gneiss.

Within each cave, between five and six sites were chosen for carbon incubation experiments (Figure 1). Sites that were chosen for carbon treatments tested slightly positive for Mn(II) oxidation via leucoberbelin blue (LBB) tests, since the goal of the incubations was to stimulate/enhance oxidation occurring at low levels. For brevity, sample sites herein will be referred to first by the cave in which the sample is from and then by the site name (i.e. site MNF in CSPC will be referred to as CSPC-MNF).

## **Baseline Community Sampling**

Sediment samples were taken in triplicate from each site before incubations, transferred on ice and stored at -80°C. DNA was extracted from the sediment samples using the MP Biomedicals Fast DNA<sup>TM</sup> Spin Kit for Soil. DNA concentrations were measured using a Fisher Scientific NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer. Extracted DNA was amplified using PCR and barcoded primers. Fungal DNA samples were amplified using the protocol outlined by Zorn (2014) and bacteria were amplified following the protocol used by Caporaso et al. (2012). Fungal primers ITS1F and ITS2R, along with bacterial primers 515F and 806R were modified with Illumina adapters, a unique Golay barcode, a reverse primer pad and a linker. Following PCR amplification, samples were standardized, pooled, and gel purified. Purified samples were shipped to the West Virginia University's Genomic Core Facility (Morgantown, WV, USA). Paired-end sequencing was performed on the samples using a MiSeq sequencer (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

# **Carbon Stimulation**

Nutrient agar casts were deployed at all of the sites chosen for carbon incubations (Figure 1). FMO2 nutrient agar casts that were intended to stimulate bacterial Mn(II) oxidation were supplemented with organic acids (arabinose, casamino acids, and succinic acids) and followed the outline by Carmichael, Carmichael, Santelli, et al. (2013) to stimulate fungal Mn(II) oxidation, agar casts were supplemented with malt extract, glucose, and yeast extract (AY) (Santelli et al. 2010). All agar casts were augmented with 100 µM MnCl<sub>2</sub>. Complex carbohydrates including sawdust, cotton, and cellulose were also deployed to stimulate fungal oxidation; however, Mn was not added to these complex carbon sources. Agar casts aimed at stimulating bacterial Mn(II) oxidation were incubated for 10 weeks and fungal carbon sources were incubated for 16 weeks. Additional sets of nutrient media were appended with 100  $\mu$ M MnCl<sub>2</sub> and 100  $\mu$ M CuCl<sub>2</sub> to test whether biotic Mn(II) oxidation *in* situ would be inhibited with Cu(II). To test whether Mn(II) oxidation at these sites was limited by Mn(II) or carbon availability, agar casts were supplemented with  $100 \,\mu M \, MnCl_2$ but were not augmented with carbon. LBB tests were then performed and triplicate DNA samples were taken from each nutrient agar that tested positive for Mn(II) oxidation.

Bacterial and Archaeal Community Analysis

PANDAseq commands (Masella et al. 2012) in QIIME (Caporaso et al. 2010) were used to build contigs from forward and reverse bacterial sequence reads and to discard sequences shorter than 245 bp or longer than 335 bp. Sequences were dereplicated with USEARCH (Edgar 2010), while UPARSE was used in conjunction with USEARCH to cluster operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at a 97% identity (Edgar 2010; Edgar 2013). UCHIME (Edgar et al. 2011) was used to filter chimera's using the RDP Gold database (Wang et al. 2007). Taxonomic classifications were performed on the clustered OTUs with QIIME and the Greengenes database (DeSantis et al. 2006). Singletons were then discarded using a QIIME supported command (Caporaso et al. 2010).

PyNAST was used to align sequences (Caporaso et al. 2010) and a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was built with FastTree (Price et al. 2010). QIIME was used to calculate alpha diversity metrics with Shannon, Simpson, and observed OTUs (Caporaso et al. 2010). Statistical significant ( $\alpha$ =0.05) among taxon level abundance between sample sites and sites before and after stimulation was calculated with a Student's t-test in Microsoft Excel.

OTU tables were modified to BIOM formatted tables (McDonald et al. 2012) and imported into R (Team 2015) using the phyloseq package (McMurdie and Holmes 2013). Vegan was used to calculate Bray-Curtis, and Jaccard distance matrices in R (Oksanen et al. 2016). Vegan (Oksanen et al. 2016), ggplot2 (Wickham 2009),and RColorBrewer (Neuwirth 2014) were used to plot distance matrices in non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) (Kruskal 1964). A permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was completed using the Adonis function within the Vegan package in R (Oksanen et al. 2016).

# Fungal Community Analysis

PANDAseq (Masella et al. 2012) and QIIME (Caporaso et al. 2010) were used to align forward and reverse fungal sequences and to discard sequences shorter than 200 bp and longer than 300 bp. Sequences were dereplicated with USEARCH and singletons were discarded (Edgar 2010). The UPARSE-OTUref algorithm was employed in USEARCH to cluster OTUs at a 97% similarity cutoff (Edgar 2010; Edgar 2013). UCHIME was used in conjunction with the UNITE reference database to discard chimeric sequences (Abarenkov et al. 2010; Edgar et al. 2011). Taxonomy was assigned to OTU clusters using the BLAST method and database (Altschul et al. 1990).

QIIME was used to calculate alpha-diversity metrics with Shannon, Simpson, and observed OTUs (Caporaso et al. 2010). Statistical significance ( $\alpha$ =0.05) among taxon level abundance between sites and sites before and after stimulation was calculated with a Student's t-test in Microsoft Excel. OTU tables were modified to BIOM formatted tables (McDonald et al. 2012) and imported into R using the phyloseq package (McMurdie and Holmes 2013). Vegan was used to calculate Bray-Curtis, and Jaccard distance matrices in R (Oksanen et al. 2016; Team 2015). RColorBrewer (Neuwirth 2014), and ggplot2 (Wickham 2009) were used to plot distance matrices in NMDS format. The Adonis function (Vegan package) (Oksanen et al. 2016) was used to determine differences in microbial communities by site and nutrient treatments.

Culturing

Samples were collected from each site before carbon incubations for culturing experiments. Samples were also taken from an iron sheet, iron gate, and rusty ceiling that tested positive for Mn(II) oxidation with LBB from Cranberry Iron Mine. Samples were streaked aseptically on nutrient agar casts including AY and citrate agar and were supplemented with 100 µM MnCl<sub>2</sub>. Cultures were continually re-plated using the stab and swipe transfer method until they were axenic. Cultures were kept in the dark at room temperature and were continually monitored for Mn(II) oxidation using LBB as a chemical indicator. Isolated cultures that tested positive for oxidation were colony PCR amplified using 12.5 µL New England BioLabs One Taq Hot Start 2X Master Mix with Standard Buffer, 0.5 µL 10 mM forward primer (either ITS1F or Edel-Hermann nu-SSU-08), 0.5 µL 10 mM reverse primer (either ITS4 or Edel-Hermann nu-SSU-153), and 11.5µL PCR water. Thermocycler parameters were set to 94°C for 10 min., 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 54°C for 45 s, 68°C for 60 s, followed by 68°C for 5 min., and 4°C hold. PCR products were purified, prepped for quick lane sequencing, and sent to Beckman Coulter Genomics (Danvers, MA, USA). Amplified sequences were identified using a BLAST search (Altschul et al. 1990). To test whether or not a Sr or N may be inhibiting Mn(II) oxidation, Mn(II)-oxidizing isolates were cultured on nutrient media that contained 50 or 100  $\mu$ M SrCl2 or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>and were tested for Mn(II) oxidation via LBB.

# Electron Microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS) was performed on isolated Mn(II)-oxidizing cultures. Cultures were preserved with glutaraldehyde and dehydrated with ethanol. Samples were then subjected to critical point drying with liquid CO<sub>2</sub> in a Polaron critical point dryer. Samples were then mounted on stubs and gold coated with a Denton Vacuum Evaporator and Desk III Sputter Coater. Samples were imaged and analyzed with an FEI Quanta 200 Environmental SEM with an EDAX Genesis XM energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer in the William and Ruth Dewel Microscopy Facility at Appalachian State University.

#### Geochemical and Mineralogical Analysis

Whole rock geochemistry (WRG) was performed on sediment samples to determine which metals may be inhibiting or stimulating Mn(II) oxidation at sites in DBC, CSPC, and RCCR. WRG samples were taken from sites where agar casts supplemented with Cu(II) were positive for Mn(II) oxidation, sites where carbon sources that were not supplemented with Mn(II) eventually tested positive for biotic Mn(II) oxidation after stimulation, and sites where fungal growth was observed but did not exhibit oxidation (Table 1). Control samples were also collected from calcite flowstones and Mn(III/IV) oxide deposits that were not manipulated by this study. Samples for WRG were collected in sterile vials and shipped to ActLabs, Inc. (a commercial laboratory in Ancaster, Ontario) for analysis using the 4E-Research Total Ident package. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed in R to determine relationships between sediment samples and caves. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was also performed on the sediments at site BR in CSPC in order to constrain the mineralogy of the site. XRD analyses were performed with a Shimadzu XRD 6000 X-ray diffractometer with a PDF/4+ Minerals database at Appalachian State University. Samples were ground in a diamonite mortar and pestle and scanned at 5-80° 2O, using a Cu tube X-ray source operated at 40kV and 30mA.

Sediment samples were taken from several sites chosen for carbon incubations in all three caves. Samples were dried, homogenized, and approximately 0.020-0.025 g of each sample were weighed in tin foil on a Sartorius CP2-P microbalance. Standards for total carbon (TC) and total nitrogen (TN) in soils included LECO soil and atropine. Sediment TC and TN were analyzed using a FlashEA 1112 NC Analyzer. A Student's t-test was used to identify differences among TC and TN at sites. TC was converted to soil organic matter (SOM) using the conversion TC%\*1.724 =SOM proposed by van Bemmelen (1891).

# Water Analysis

Three sites in CSPC, DBC, and RCCR were chosen for water collection (Figure 1). At each site dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, pH, and total dissolved solids (TDS) were measured with a YSI multiparameter handheld water chemistry meter. Water samples for ion chromatography (IC) were filtered into HDPE sterile bottles with a 0.45  $\mu$ m filter. Sulfate, phosphate, nitrate, fluoride, chloride, and bromide ion concentrations were measured for each sample using an Agilent 6850 Series II Gas Chromatograph in the Chemistry Department at Appalachian State University. Water samples for total organic carbon (TOC) measurements were collected in sterile amber glass bottles and were acidified to a pH < 2 with HCl upon arrival at the lab. Samples for TOC were shipped to the Arizona Laboratory for Emerging Contaminants at the University of Arizona (Tuscon, AZ, USA). Water chemistry parameters and water samples were sampled approximately every six weeks for one year. Water was unable to be collected from two DBC sites (CL and FCP) from June-November, 2015, as the

sites were dry. IC and TOC analyses were compared to Quality Controlled Local Climatological Data taken by NOAA (<u>http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/qclcd</u>) and discharge data collected by USGS of the north fork of the Holston River near Gate City, VA (http://help.waterdata.usgs.gov).

# **Chapter 3: Results**

# Baseline Prokaryotic Community Analysis

After removing singletons, we recovered 20,063 OTUs, most of which were unable to be identified at the species level. Within RCCR a total of 4,767 OTUs were amplified, while 6,693 were amplified from DBC and 7,101 OTUs were found within CSPC. In total, three archaeal phyla and 59 bacterial phyla were represented through sequencing. At the phylum level, Proteobacteria accounted for 23.43-41.34% of the bacterial and archaeal communities across all samples before incubations (Figure 2). The second most abundant phylum was site dependent. Bacterial and archaeal communities at sites RCCR-AB, RCCR-WWWT, and DBC-LL were distinctly different than DBC-CL and all CSPC sites. Site RCCR-AB, RCCR-WWWT, and DBC-LL had higher relative abundances of Chloroflexi, Nitrospirae, and NC10 and lower relative abundances of Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Verrucomicrobia when compared to sites DBC-CL and all CSPC sites (Figure 2). At the order-level, bacterial and archaeal communities at RCCR-AB, RCCR-WWWT, and DBC-LL had higher relative abundances of Alteromonadales and lower relative abundances of Burkholderiales, Saprospirales, and Actinomycetales, when compared to all of the sites at CSPC and at site DBC-CL (Figure 3).

Archaea ranged from 0.06-13.5% of the total prokaryotic sequences across all sites and treatments (Figure 2). Crenarchaeotal and Euryarchaeotal relative abundances ranged from 0.01-7.2% and 0.01-5.6%. Thaumarchaeota and Thermoplasmata were the most abundant archaeal classes across all sites and treatments. The most abundant archaeal genus was Nitrososphaera and accounted for up to 1.8% of the prokaryotic communities.

Results from alpha-diversity measurements of bacterial and archaeal communities showed no significant differences among caves (Table 2). Richness measured through observed OTUs before treatments ranged from 1,552 at RCCR-WWWT to 2,683 at DBC-CL. Analysis of measurements calculated with the Shannon diversity index suggests that all sites were diverse, as the sites ranged from 7.43 at RCCR-WWWT to 8.31 at DBC-CL. Results from the Simpson diversity index confirm that the baseline bacterial and archaeal communities across all sites were diverse (Table 2).

Results from the Bray-Curtis beta-diversity measurements from PERMANOVA calculations suggest that the bacterial and archaeal communities at sites within DBC, CL and LL were not different than the sites within the anthropogenically impacted cave, CSPC (Table 3). In contrast, Jaccard measurements from PERMANOVAs indicate that DBC-LL is different (P<0.05) than all sites except for CSPC-WM. Results from the pairwise comparisons between DBC-LL and RCCR-WWWT suggest that the two sites have significantly different OTUs present (Jaccard P=0.032) but do not have different OTU abundances (Bray-Curtis P=0.072) (Table 3). This comparison provides additional evidence that both sites, DBC-LL and RCCR-WWWT, most likely shared common abundant OTUs but had different rare taxa. Analysis of the beta-diversity plots computed with the Jaccard similarity matrix and NMDS corroborates the PERMANOVA results from the Jaccard distance matrix (Figure 4).

# **Baseline Fungal Community Analysis**

Four fungal phyla were represented through sequencing. All sites were dominated by either Ascomycota (4.3-92.8%), Basidiomycota (1.4%-66.7%), and/or Zygomycota (3.1%-

49.8%), while Glomeromycota accounted for less than 1% across all sites (Figure 5). Pezizomycetes dominated site CSPC-MNF (74.4%), Zygomycota Incertae sedis dominated site RCCR-AB (49.8%) and CSPC-NOOK (55.7%), and Agaricomycetes were in high abundance at site CSPC-BR (66.5%) (Figure 6). Sordariomycetes were also in relatively high abundance at sites RCCR-AB, DBC-LL, RCCR-PUD, and CSPC-WM. At the species level, 934 fungal OTUs were assigned, but similar to prokaryotes, most OTUs could not be identified at the species level. *Mortierella, Pseudaleuria* and *Piloderma* were in relatively high abundances across at least one sample (Figure 7). *Mortierella* was in highest abundance at site CSPC-NOOK (55%), *Pseudaleuria* was highest at CSPC-MNF (68%), and *Piloderma* was highest at CSPC-BR (37%).

There appeared to be no significant differences between the alpha-diversity of fungal communities between sites within caves (Table 2). Observed OTUs were several orders of magnitude lower for fungal communities compared to prokaryotic assemblages. Analysis of the fungal diversity measured with the Shannon diversity index indicates that WM, a site at CSPC, had the highest diversity (4.36), and MNF, another site at CSPC, had the lowest diversity (1.76). Results from the Simpson diversity of fungal communities also suggests the same, CSPC-MNF had a Simpson value of 0.42, while WM had a Simpson value of 0.91, indicating that the fungal diversity within CSPC is highly variable. Observed OTUs did not always correlate with increased or decreased diversity.

Before stimulation, fungal communities showed some differences among treatments according to PERMANOVAs calculated with the Bray-Curtis and Jaccard indices (P<0.05, Table 3). There were several inconsistences between the Jaccard and Bray-Curtis PERMANOVA measurements, which suggests that rare taxa may be significantly

influencing the beta-diversity at some sites and OTU abundances are driving the differences between the communities at other sites (P<0.05).Overall, results from the PERMANOVAs suggest that beta-diversity was highly variable among sites and did not correlate with the caves in which the samples were taken. Fungal communities at two sites, CSPC-BR and CSPC-MNF, were different than each other and all other sites tested. The Jaccard NMDS biplot showed similar patterns to those found with the Jaccard PERMANOVAs (Figure 8).

#### Geochemical Analyses

PCA results of whole rock geochemistry at sites suggests that CSPC sites cluster together, RCCR sites cluster together and DBC sites cluster together, with the exception of DBC-CL, which clusters more closely with the site WWWT at RCCR. Cu(II) concentrations ranged from 2-50 ppm with the highest concentration found at site RCCR-AC, and the lowest at a flowstone sampled near DBC-FCP (Figure 10), reflecting differences in substrate mineralogy. Sulfur concentrations at CSPC-BR and the non-oxide site at CSPC sampled near WM were much higher than S concentrations at other sites (Figure 10). Strontium concentrations at site CSPC-BR were an order of magnitude higher than the other sites (661 ppm compared to < 65 ppm) (Figure 10). The Mn(II)-oxidizing capability of fungal isolates from CSPC and CBM was not inhibited with various concentrations of Sr or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>in *in vitro* culture experiments. XRD analysis of the sediment sample taken from BR shows that the sample is mostly quartz, and orthoclase silt with minor goethite.

Nitrogen levels ranged from 0.03-0.35% with most of the sites containing less than 0.10% nitrogen and the highest concentrations were found at CSPC-BR and CSPC-MudTrap. Average soil organic matter (SOM) concentrations from sites ranged from 0.29-6.29 g C kg<sup>-1</sup>

and was less than 1 g C kg<sup>-1</sup> at three CSPC sites (BR, NOOK and WM) (Figure 11). Carbon and nitrogen ratios were highest at DBC-LL (103.88) and lowest at CSPC-BR (1.57), CSPC-NOOK (3.60), and CSPC-WM (5.21) (Figure 11).

### Water Chemistry

Water chemistry parameters can be found in Table 4. At all water sites, phosphate and bromide levels were below the detection limit for all samples. Across sites, fluoride concentrations ranged from 0.11-1.70 ppm, chloride concentrations ranged between 0.37-81.10 ppm, sulfate concentrations ranged from 1.73-14.75 ppm, and nitrate concentrations ranged from 0.048-33.50 ppm. (Figure 12). Streamflow data collected from north fork of the Holston River near Gate City, VA did not correlate with precipitation data taken from the Virginia Highlands Airport, Abington, VA (Figure 12).

TOC analysis from water samples taken from sites ranged from 280-35326  $\mu$ g/L (Figure 13). TOC concentrations typically did not exceed 1500  $\mu$ g/L, with the exception of isolated excursions in February, March, and May. TOC did not appear to correlate with ion concentrations or precipitation data.

# **Carbon Incubations**

Incubated carbon sources chosen for this study stimulated biotic Mn(II) oxidation at multiple sites within CSPC, DBC, and RCCR, with the exception of the organic acid enrichments supplemented with Cu(II) and yeast extract (Table 1). Carbon treatments at all sites in Obeys Creek Cave were not able to be used for this study, as most of the nutrient media had been eaten or was missing from the sites. Bacterial growth was not visibly

observed on or around any plates *in situ*. Fungal growth was observed with simple sugars and complex carbon sources at ten sites (Table 1). Each of the organic acids stimulated Mn(II) oxidation at six sites and glucose and malt extract stimulated Mn(II) oxidation at 10 total sites within CSPC, DBC, and RCCR. Fungal Mn(II) was stimulated with cotton at three sites, with sawdust at four sites, and with cellulose filter paper at one site. Glucose supplemented with Cu(II) stimulated Mn(II) oxidation at eight sites and malt extract supplemented with Cu(II) stimulated Mn(II) oxidation at one site (Table 1). Additionally, media plates that lacked a carbon source but were supplemented with MnCl<sub>2</sub> did not stimulate Mn(II) oxidation.

#### Prokaryotic Communities after Stimulation

At the bacterial and archaeal phyla-level, sites RCCR-AB, DBC-LL, and RCCR-WWWT experienced more significant shifts in individual phyla abundances than site CL and sites at CSPC (Figure 2). Alphaproteobacterial, Gammaproteobacterial, Bacteroidetes and Verrucomicrobial relative read abundances increased (P< 0.05) at RCCR-AB, RCCR-WWWT, and DBC-LL across most treatments. Acidobacteria and Chloroflexi decreased significantly with most treatments at site RCCR-AB, RCCR-WWWT, and DBC-LL and decreased, but not significantly, at DBC-CL and the CSPC sites. Crenarchaeota and Planctomycete abundance decreased with all treatments and sites. Betaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria increased significantly across some treatments and accounted for 4.3-13.6% and 4.7-26.6% before treatments and 6.8-32.7% and 11.5-45.8% after treatments, respectively. Saprospirae were also relatively high after treatments and accounted for 30% of the prokaryotic assemblages. At the order-level, Saprospirales, Burkholderiales, and Pseudomonadales increased across all treatments with simple sugars (Figure 3).

Flavobacteriales and Burkholderiales increased with most simple sugars. Chromatiales was more abundant at RCCR-AB, DBC-LL, and RCCR-WWWT before treatments and decreased with almost all treatments. In contrast, Actionmycetales was most abundant at DBC-CL, CSPC-MNF, CSPC-NOOK, and CSPC-WM before treatments and increased the most at RCCR-AB, DBC-LL, DBC-CL, and CSPC-MNF. In general, archaeal abundance decreased with carbon treatments, with the exception of casamino acids at RCCR-AB, CSPC-MNF, and CSPC-WM.

The four most abundant OTUs for each sample can be found in Figure 14. The most abundant OTU was OTU 1, which belongs to the *Polaromonas* genus and accounted for 0.37-35.25% of all sequences within samples. OTU 1's abundance seemed to increase with the addition of carbon sources with the exception of CSPC sites MNF and WM with simple sugars and site CSPC-NOOK. The second most abundance OTU, OTU 2, belongs to the Pseudomonadaceae family and made up 0.09-29.58% of samples. Both OTU 1 and 2 abundance increased with the carbon treatments except for simple sugar incubations at CSPC-MNF and CSPC-WM and organic acids at CSPC-NOOK. Most of the treatments were dominated by a few OTUs, while the before samples were more evenly distributed. For example, at site WM the top four OTUs with the before sample and treatment with succinic acid accounted for 19.47% and 63.58% of the total sequences, respectively. Three of the top OTUs across all sites were archaeal and included OTU 71, 39, and 150. OTU 71, which was identified to the order, Crenarchaeales, was most abundant at RCCR-AB and DBC-LL before treatments, OTU 39 which is part of the Methanomassiliicoccaceae family was most

abundant at DBC-CL before treatments, and OTU 150 could be identified to the Cenarchaecaceae Family and was most abundant at RCCR-AB

Observed OTUs diversity both decreased and increased with treatments, and there were no obvious pattern among sites, treatments, or species count (Table 2). Increases in observed OTUs did not always correlate with increased Shannon or Simpson alpha-diversity. CSPC-MNF had approximately 2,137 observed OTUs before treatments and a Shannon diversity index of 8.02. After treatment at CSPC-MNF with arabinose total OTU count increased to 4,050 observed OTUs and the Shannon index value for CSPC-MNF decreased to 7.28. After Mn(II) oxidation had been stimulated there were significant decreases in Shannon and Simpson diversity across several sites and treatments.

Stimulation of bacterial Mn(II) oxidation with various carbon sources impacted the beta-diversity of bacterial and archaeal communities across all sites with the exception of site CSPC-NOOK, with both the Jaccard and Bray-Curtis calculations (PERMANOVA, P<0.05) (Table 3). Also, every organic acid and simple sugar that had stimulated Mn(II) oxidation resulted in a shift in beta-diversity at at least one site. In general, calculations from the Jaccard similarity PERMANOVAs were similar to those calculated from the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index, with the exception of four treatments. Results from the Jaccard NMDS biplot were mostly consistent with the results from both the Bray-Curtis and Jaccard PERMANOVAs (Figure 15-17).

# Fungal Communities after Stimulation

After stimulation, phyla level abundance shifted the most with cotton and sawdust treatments (Figure 7). In general, Ascomycotal abundances increased with treatments with

the exception of incubations at CSPC-MNF and CSPC-WM and cotton treatment.

Basidiomycetes decreased across all sites and treatments except casamino acids, malt extract, and sawdust at CSPC-MNF. Zygomycotal abundance decreased with most recalcitrant carbon sources treatments (sawdust and cotton) and increased with nearly all simple sugar treatments (malt extract, glucose and glucose+Cu). DBC-LL treated with cotton and sawdust and RCCR-PUD treated with sawdust were almost completely dominated by the Sodariomycetes fungal class (94.9-98.9%), most of which was from the Microascales order (41.0-98.8%) (Figure 6). Microascales abundance increased at site DBC-LL and RCCR-PUD with sawdust (P<0.05) and Hypocreales increased with cotton treatments at DBC-LL and CSPC-WM. Mortierellales abundance increased with organic acid and simple sugar treatments and decreased with most complex carbon incubations. Significant decreases (P<0.05) in Mortierellales were observed with cotton and sawdust at DBC-LL. Agaricales increased at CSPC-MNF and CSPC-WM with several treatments (P<0.05). Pseudaleuria, Acremonium, and Mortierella were the most abundant genera identified (Figure 7), while most genera and species could not be identified. Pseudaleuria was in highest abundance before and after treatments at CSP-MNF, and *Mortierella* was in relatively high abundances across multiple sites before and after treatments.

The four most abundant OTUs for each sample can be found in Figure 18. Across three samples, fungal communities before treatments were less even than the baseline prokaryotic communities. After most treatments, fungal communities were dominated by a few OTUs. Of those OTUs, OTU 2 was the most abundant OTU across all samples and was identified as *Pseudogymnoascus sp.* UFMGCB 5981 (originally isolated from macroalgae communities in the Antarctic (Goncalves et al. 2014). Before treatments this OTU accounted
for 0.09-58.51% of the fungal communities and after treatments its abundance ranged from 0.00-82.34%. The second most abundant fungal OTU was OTU 1 which was identified as a species within the *Pseudaleuria* genus, which was highly distributed at site CSPC-MNF and ranged from 0.20-83.98%. Approximately 61,119 of the 61,604 sequences associated with OTU 1 were amplified from site CSPC-MNF. Across other sites and treatments, the abundance of OTU 1 did not exceed 2%. Of the top OTUs across all sites, 11 OTUs belonged to the Zygomycota phyla and accounted for up to 70% of a fungal community.

Observed OTUs increased across most treatments, with the exception of arabinose, casamino acids, and malt extract at CSPC-MNF, and succinic acid at CSPC-NOOK. Shannon and Simpson diversity indices decreased with most treatments, while significant decreases (P<0.05) were only measured with cotton and sawdust at DBC-LL and sawdust at RCCR-PUD. The lowest diversity measurements were found at CSPC-MNF with arabinose treatment and the highest diversity measurements were at RCCR-PUD treated with glucose+Cu and malt extract.

Complex carbon incubations resulted in some shifts in fungal communities (PERMANOVA, P<0.05), while simple sugar and organic acid treatments did not significantly impact the communities (Table 3). Interpretations of the Jaccard NMDS biplot suggested that malt extract treatments impacted the fungal community and other simple sugars and organic acid treatments did not impact the community (Figure 19). Analysis of Jaccard PERMANOVA measurements from fungal communities indicate differences between communities before and after treatment with sawdust at all three sites (P<0.05) (Table 3), which was consistent with analyses of the Jaccard similarity matrix plotted with NMDS (Figure 20). Bray-Curtis PERMANOVAs of sawdust treatments showed differences

in only two of the three sites where sawdust stimulated Mn(II) oxidation (Table 3), indicating that recalcitrant carbon stimulation at DBC-LL had significant impacts on OTU presence/absence but not on OTU abundances.

#### Mn(II)-Oxidizing Isolates

Axenic cultures were isolated from caves CSPC, DBC, and RCCR, and from CBM. Most Mn(II)-oxidizing microbes isolated from these sites were assigned to the fungal genera *Acremonium, Plectosphaerella, Pleosporales*, or *Alternaria* and bacterial genera *Pseudomonas*, and *Flavobacterium*. All of which have been previously isolated from either CSPC or DBC (Carmichael, Carmichael, Santelli, et al. 2013; Carmichael et al. 2015). Fungi that have yet to be identified as Mn(II)-oxidizers include an isolate that is 99% identical to *Trichosporon spp.*, which belongs to the Tremellales order and Basidiomycota phyla, and an isolate that is 99% identical to *Dictyosporium spp.*, which belongs to Pleosporales order and Ascomycota phyla (Table ). SEM images were taken from Mn(II)-oxidizing isolates and EDS analyses confirmed the presence of Mn(III/IV) oxides associated with the cell wall of the fungal hyphae (Figure 21-23).

#### **Chapter 4: Discussion**

Microbial Community Analysis Prior to Carbon Treatments

Past research regarding microbial communities in cave systems has been focused on culturing techniques or clone libraries (Vanderwolf et al. 2013), while only a handful of studies have used high-throughput sequencing to identify microbial communities (Mandal, Panda, et al. 2015; Mandal, Sanga, et al. 2015; Ortiz et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2015). Of those, only one has identified fungal assemblages (Carmichael et al. 2015). Microbial community involvement in biogeochemical cycling in cave systems is important to identify, as several studies have found that microbes can affect host-rock geochemistry (Barton and Jurado 2007; Gradzinksi et al. 1995; Jones et al. 2008; Sáiz-Jimenez et al. 2012; Spilde et al. 2005).

Previous studies analyzing bacterial communities from cave samples identified 11-33 bacterial phyla (Mandal, Panda, et al. 2015; Mandal, Sanga, et al. 2015; Ortiz et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2015), which is much lower than the 59 bacterial phyla described from this study. Of the phyla found in other caves, Actinobacteria have been found to dominate most of the cave samples taken from Farkpuk Cave with 81% abundance (Mandal, Sanga, et al. 2015), Khuengcherapuk Cave with 64% abundance (Mandal, Panda, et al. 2015), and at Kartchner Caverns accounting for up to 60% of the sequences (Ortiz et al. 2012). Within Kartchner Caverns, sites not dominated by Actinobacteria had high abundances of Deltaproteobacteria (Ortiz et al. 2012), a class that accounted for less than 5% of the bacterial and archaeal communities identified in this study. Community analysis at Jinjia Cave showed Actinobacteria in highest abundance (33%) at sites closest to a sinkhole, while Gammaproteobacterial accounted for 40% of the sequences in the deepest, least accessible sites of the cave (Wu et al. 2015). Sites analyzed for this study had relatively high

concentrations of Gammaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Acidobacteria, while Actinobacteria accounted for less than 12% of the communities before treatments (Figure 2). Discrepancies observed between phyla-level abundances may be due to the locations of sample sites within caves. For example, almost all samples sites chosen in Kartcher Caverns were speleothems (Ortiz et al. 2012), whereas samples sites chosen for this study had a variety of substrates where Mn(II) was being actively oxidized and Mn(III/IV) oxides were present.

Prokaryotic communities based on OTU presence/absence at RCCR and one of the two sites at DBC were significantly distinct from the assemblages at CSPC prior to carbon incubations (Jaccard, P<0.05). Bacteroidetes, commonly associated with the mammalian gut (Thomas et al. 2011), were the most abundant at DBC-CL and the CSPC sites. The increased abundance of Verrucomicrobia at DBC-CL and CSPC sites is not surprising, as Verrucomicrobia are commonly found in soils or waters that are polluted or eutrophic (see review by Schlesner et al. 2006). These results support the notion that CSPC is experiencing 'sustained anthropogenic impact', which was proposed by Carmichael, Carmichael, Strom, et al. (2013). Bacterial and archaeal assemblages, as well as fungal communities in cave systems can be affected by anthropogenic impact, which can either be direct, such as tracking in exogenous microbes from outside of the cave (Griffin et al. 2014) or littering in caves (Carmichael, Carmichael, Strom, et al. 2013; Carmichael et al. 2015; Ikner et al. 2007), or indirect, such as when groundwater becomes contaminated with human waste or municipal water sources (Carmichael, Carmichael, Strom, et al. 2013; Simon and Buikema Jr 1997). IC analysis from water samples suggests that CSPC sites experienced increased nitrate and

chloride loading, which is similar to the findings of Carmichael, Carmichael, Strom, et al. (2013).

Caves are generally considered oligotrophic unless they experience increased exogenous input or are sustained by chemolithoautotrophic microbes. Carmichael, Carmichael, Strom, et al. (2013) hypothesized that SOM from sediment samples at CSPC would be elevated in comparison to DBC or RCCR, as CSPC has experienced exogenous carbon infiltration through anthropogenic impact. Localized carbon loading has had significant impacts on microbial communities and culturable microbes from caves (Adetutu et al. 2012; Carmichael et al. 2015; Ikner et al. 2007; Jurado et al. 2010), thus it was expected that increased SOM would be correlated with distinct microbial communities. Results from this study do not support this hypothesis, as SOM was significantly higher at DBC-LL than any other site tested (Figure 11). Based on results from the Jaccard similarity index, OTUs present at DBC-LL were different than every site except for CSPC-WM (P<0.05) and OTU abundances at DBC-LL were similar to several other sites (Bray-Curtis P>0.05). Additionally, increased carbon sources were also expected to decrease microbial diversity (Ikner et al. 2007; Shapiro and Pringle 2010; Silva Taylor et al. 2013), which is not what was observed during this study. For example, DBC-LL had the highest SOM in sediments and also had higher microbial alpha-diversity measurements than several other sites tested. DBC-CL also indicated relatively high amounts of TOC from water infiltration and had the most diverse prokaryotic community based on alpha-diversity calculations. Nitrogen has also been suggested to be a limiting nutrient for microbial processes (Chelius et al. 2009), however, there are no patterns between total nitrogen concentrations and alpha-diversity or relative abundances associated with microbial communities. Previous studies have concluded that

microbial community composition is significantly correlated with C:N ratios (Wan et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2014), which was likewise not supported in this study. If C:N ratios were correlated to microbial assemblages then beta-diversity at sites would be grouped into four categories, as follows: 1) CSPC-MNF, DBC-CL, and RCCR-AB, 2) RCCR-PUD and RCCR-WWWT, 3) CSPC-BR, CSPC-NOOK, and CSPC-WM, 4) DBC-LL. However, bacterial communities at DBC-CL, and CSPC sites MNF, NOOK and WM grouped together, RCCR-AB was significantly different from all other sites and DBC-LL and RCCR-WWWT clustered together (Figure 4). In fact, no obvious patterns were observed between C:N ratios and alpha-diversity measurements. This lack of correlation between SOM or C:N ratios to microbial alpha and beta-diversity therefore indicates that other factors are affecting assemblage structure within these cave systems.

Previous studies have found that bacterial communities within cave systems are dependent on geochemistry of the bedrock (Barton et al. 2007; Wu et al. 2015). However, geochemistry at site DBC-CL more closely resembled that at site RCCR-WWWT (in an entirely different cave) (Figure 9). If host rock geochemistry was driving the prokaryotic community diversity within CSPC, DBC and RCCR, then DBC-CL should have a similar microbial community` to RCCR-WWWT, which was not observed. Actually, DBC-CL had similar bacterial and archaeal beta-diversity to sites at CSPC, the impacted cave. Another explanation for the similar beta-diversities found at site DBC-CL and CSPC sites is reflected in the result that site DBC-CL experienced significantly higher TOC infiltration through drip water sources than any other site during two sampling events (Figure 13). We speculate that increased exogenous TOC input, either through natural (i.e. autochthonous/allochthonous) carbon sources or anthropogenic sources (i.e. lint, skin cells, sewage, food crumbs, hair

follicles) has long term effects on the prokaryotic communities within cave systems. This hypothesis would explain why CSPC, which is an historically anthropogenically impacted cave, has low levels of SOM and similar prokaryotic communities to DBC-CL, which is a relatively 'pristine' cave that experienced high amounts of TOC during several sampling events, and DBC-LL, which had elevated levels of SOM. Thus, it is hypothesized that microbial communities in caves may represent carbon input, either as exogenous carbon input or recycled endogenous carbon. Further studies addressing community changes over a longer period (4 months vs 12 months), will need to be performed in order to test long term effects of carbon on biotic Mn(II) oxidation and microbial communities.

Prokaryotic Community Responses to the Stimulation of Mn(II) Oxidation

Bacterial and archaeal communities at sites experiencing endogenous carbon (sites – RCCR-AB, RCCR-WWWT and DBC-LL), were most sensitive to the direct addition of carbon compared to sites experiencing long term exogenous carbon input (DBC-CL and CSPC sites). Proteobacteria increased across most treatments with organic acids and simple sugars (Figure 2). We predicted that stimulation with organic acids would enrich for Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Firmicutes, as all known Mn(II)-oxidizing bacteria are members of these phyla (Table 6). Mesocosm experiments identifying bacterial communities before and after direct addition of labile carbon sources by Goldfarb et al. (2011) and Eilers et al. (2010) likewise found an increase in Proteobacteria after carbon addition. Eilers et al. (2010) observed relatively higher increases in Betaproteobacterial abundances for citric acid treatments and glucose treated soils in comparison to the results

reported by Goldfarb et al. (2011). Gammaproteobacteria also increased with citric acid treatments with coniferous forest soils (Eilers et al. 2010). Results from this study were similar, as Proteobacterial abundance increased the most with the most labile carbon sources (organic acids) versus the less labile carbon sources (simple sugars). Betaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria accounted for the majority of the increases in phyla/class level distribution observed with treatments (Figure 2). In contrast, Bacteroidetes increased the most with simple sugars vs. organic acids with the exception of arabinose treatment at CSPC-WM (Figure 7). Bacterial orders that increased with the addition of labile carbon sources from forested soils (Goldfarb et al. 2011) were very similar to those that increased with simple sugars and organic acids from this study and included Actinomycetales, Alteromonadales, Burkholderiales, Pseudomonadales, Saprospirales, Xanthomonadales (Figure 3), which all have Mn(II)-oxidizing species representatives with the exception of Saprospirales (see Table 6 for taxonomic classification of known Mn(II)-oxidizing bacteria).

Most studies addressing microbial communities have found a decrease in diversity with the addition of carbon sources (Ikner et al. 2007; Shapiro and Pringle 2010). Therefore, diversity was expected to decrease with the addition of carbon sources. Most treatments did decrease the prokaryotic diversity, with a few exceptions. Overall, alpha-diversity based on Shannon's diversity index was still relatively high even after treatments, ranging from 5.52-8.47 (Table 2). Changes to alpha-diversity are represented visually with the prokaryotic heatmap created from the top OTUs at each site (Figure 14). Before treatments, the four most abundant OTUs ranged from 13.57-29.99% of the community at a given site. *Polaromonas spp.* increased the most after treatments, followed closely by OTU 2, which was identified as a species within the Pseudomonadaceae family. Previous studies identifying bacterial

communities in caves have also found *Polaromonas spp.*, including Jinjia Cave, China (Wu et al. 2015), Tjuv-Ante's Cave, Sweden (Mendoza et al. 2016), and Kartchner Caverns, AZ, USA (Ikner et al. 2007).

#### Fungal Community Responses to the Stimulation of Mn(II) Oxidation

Previous metagenomics research from Mn(III/IV) oxide deposits found in Ashumet Pond and Mn removal beds from passive remediation systems in acid mine drainage sites found that fungal communities were dominated by Ascomycota, followed by Basidiomycetes, while Zygomycetes accounted for < 5% of the assemblages (Chaput et al. 2015; Santelli et al. 2014). Carmichael et al. (2015) found that Ascomycota was the dominant phyla at CSPC and fungal communities at DBC had higher concentrations of Basidiomycetes. Zygomycetes were in relatively low abundance at both CSPC and DBC did not account for more than 10% of the communities (Carmichael et al. 2015). Unlike results in Carmichael et al. (2015) fungal communities identified in this study did not show significant patterns between the fungal distributions and caves (Figure 8). In contrast, community structure appeared to explain whether or not Mn(II) oxidation could be stimulated. This study found that Ascomycetes were in higher abundance at sites where Mn(II) oxidation was stimulated (Figure 5), which was likewise a finding for Carmichael et al. (2015) where sites at CSPC had significantly higher Ascomycete abundances than DBC and Mn(II) oxidation was only stimulated at CSPC sites during a 10 week incubation period. The increased relative abundance of Ascomycetes may have led to a more readily stimulated fungal Mn(II)oxidizing community at sites where oxidation was stimulated. Alpha-diversity for fungal communities before treatments were lower than that observed for bacterial communities,

which is analogous to fungal and bacterial diversity found in other caves (see review by Vanderwolf et al. 2013). Fungal diversity decreased with the addition of carbon sources at most sites, which is not surprising as diversity has been shown to decrease with nutrient input (Vanderwolf et al. 2013). We hypothesized that more complex carbon sources like sawdust and cotton would have the most negative effect on diversity, because the ability of microbes to breakdown lignin and cotton is not universal (Erden et al. 2009; Hofrichter et al. 2010), therefore, only a subset of the fungal community would be able to utilize these carbon sources. This was mostly true, with the exception of sawdust at CSPC-MNF where fungal evenness decreased by only 0.0001. Malt extract treatments at CSPC-MNF and RCCR-PUD resulted in a higher fungal diversity, which could be due to an increased number of fungal species that were able to utilize malt extract as their carbon source.

Carmichael et al. (2015) found that Ascomycota and Basidiomycota decrease in abundance, while Zygomycota and unidentified fungi increase in cave environments when Mn(II) oxidation is stimulated with glucose plates. Thus, we also hypothesized that Ascomycota and Basidiomycota would decrease in abundance with Mn(II) oxidation stimulated with simple sugars. Results from this study support the results from Carmichael et al. (2015), because Zygomycota increased with most glucose, glucose+Cu, and malt extract treatments. Zygomycetes also increased at CSPC-WM with organic acids and cotton. In this study, however, Ascomycota did not always decrease. For most of the treatments, Basidiomycetes decreased and Ascomycota were relatively unchanged in abundance with the exception of stimulation with sawdust and cotton (Figure 5). Differences observed between fungal community responses to nutrient input could be due to the fact that different sites were used for each of the studies, with the exception of CSPC-MNF (Carmichael et al. 2015).

It was hypothesized that stimulation with sawdust and cotton would enrich for Mn(II)-oxidizing Basidiomycetes due to their ability to oxidize cellulose and Mn(II) via the production of cellulases (Baldrian and Valášková 2008) and the lignin-oxidizing enzyme, MnP (Hofrichter 2002). There were three sites where sawdust stimulated Mn(II) oxidation. Of those three sites, only CSPC-MNF had an increase in Basidiomycetes after treatment with sawdust. Site DBC-LL and RCCR-PUD increased in Ascomycota, specifically in Microascales, which are mostly saprobic fungi found in soils (Zhang et al. 2006). Species within the Hypocreales order use MCO enzymes and superoxide to oxidize Mn(II) (Hansel et al. 2012; Miyata, Tani, et al. 2006) and enzymes cellobiohydrolase I, cellobiohydrolase II, and endoglucanase II to break down cellulose (Kubicek et al. 1996). Therefore, the increased abundance of Hypocreales from < 2% to 54% abundance after treatments with cotton is not surprising.

*Pseudogymnoascus* species have adapted to cold, nutrient depleted habitats, including caves, and have the ability to utilize various carbon sources (see review by Hayes 2012), so their relatively high abundances before and after treatments are not unexpected. An unidentified species within the *Pseudaleuria* genus was also found to be in relatively high abundance before and after treatments, which is interesting because the genus has yet to be identified from any other cave. The absence of *Pseudaleuria* from cave microbial ecology studies could be the result of the lack of high-throughput sequencing methods identifying fungal assemblages in caves, as most studies focus on the bacterial communities. In addition, cave microbiologists tend to employ limited culturing efforts, as most studies focus on culturing specific types of fungi (Carmichael et al. 2015; Sáiz-Jimenez et al. 2012; Vanderwolf et al. 2013). Nevertheless, *Pseudaleuria* was found to be in relatively high

abundance, accounting for up to 83.98% of the fungal species at CSPC-MNF. Of the known Mn(II)-oxidizing isolates (see Table 7 for comprehensive list) three Ascomycetes and eight Basidiomycetes could be identified to the genera level and accounted for at least 1% of the fungal abundance at one or more sites (Figure 7).

#### Environmental Parameters Affecting Biotic Mn(II) Oxidation in situ

The role that copper plays in the enzymatic oxidation of Mn(II) in situ has yet to be determined. However, in *in vitro* studies Cu(II) has played a conflicting role in biotic Mn(II) oxidation due to its both stimulatory and inhibitory effect on specific pathways in which microbes use to oxidize Mn(II). Mn(II) oxidation has been shown to be enhanced with the addition of 2-180 µM Cu(II) for bacteria that oxidize Mn(II) through MCO enzymes (Brouwers et al. 2000; Brouwers et al. 1999; El Gheriany et al. 2011; Larsen et al. 1999; van Waasbergen et al. 1996). In contrast, Mn(II) oxidation by microbes that utilize superoxide production is inhibited with 100 µM Cu(II) because superoxide scavenges Cu(II) (Andeer et al. 2015; Hansel et al. 2012; Learman et al. 2011). For this study, carbon sources supplemented with 100  $\mu$ M Cu(II) aimed at stimulating bacterial Mn(II) oxidation (arabinose, succinic acid or casamino acids) inhibited Mn(II) oxidation in situ, whereas the same carbon sources without Cu(II) promoted oxidation suggesting that bacteria are oxidizing Mn(II) with superoxide production and not MCOs. Fungal Mn(II) oxidation was stimulated with 100  $\mu$ M Cu(II) supplemented malt extract or glucose suggesting that the fungal species oxidizing Mn(II) are most likely using MCOs and not superoxide. This hypothesis is supported by the presence of Mn(III/IV) oxides associated with the cell wall of fungal hyphae observed on the Mn(II)-oxidizing isolates from this study (Figure 21-23).

MCO catalyzed Mn(II) oxidation is the only oxidizing mechanism associated with the cell wall that has lead researchers to infer that MCOs are responsible for oxidizing Mn(II) if the oxide deposits are cell wall associated (Santelli et al. 2011; Tang et al. 2013).

There were two sites where fungal Mn(II) oxidation was expected to be stimulated, yet Mn(II) oxidation tests with LBB were negative. Both sites were at CSPC and include site NOOK and site BR. At NOOK, simple sugars agar casts were incubated for 19 months and fungal Mn(II) oxidation was not stimulated. Interestingly, when organic acids were incubated at NOOK, Mn(II) oxidation was stimulated after just 10 weeks of incubation. BR was originally chosen as a study site due to a bottle rocket (BR) being present and Mn(II) oxidation occurring on that bottle rocket. Simple sugar treatments at BR lead to the visible growth of fungal hyphae but did not stimulate Mn(II) oxidation, which was unique as every other site where fungal growth was detected Mn(II) oxidation was also stimulated. Geochemical analyses performed at BR showed increased concentrations of Sr, S, and N, leading to the hypothesis that one of these elements may be inhibiting biotic Mn(II) oxidation. Bottle rockets normally contain S, Sr, and N, so their increased abundance at BR was not surprising. The Mn(II)-oxidizing capability of fungi previously isolated from other sites/caves was not inhibited with the addition of Sr or N. This lack of correlation between Sr/N and Mn(II)-oxidizing isolates could mean that Sr/N does not inhibit biotic Mn(II) oxidation or that Sr/N inhibits specific Mn(II)-oxidizing biotic reactions but not others (i.e. MnP vs superoxide).

However, additional geochemical analyses have led to the formation of another, more plausible speculation. Fungal communities at NOOK and BR were dominated by either Basidiomycetes or Zygomycetes, which can oxidize Mn(II) through the production of MnP

(Bonugli-Santos et al. 2009; Hofrichter 2002). MnP is a high molecular weight extracellular enzyme that is produced to sequester recalcitrant carbon from the environment (Hofrichter 2002). Modelling performed by Allison (2005) found that microbes will use approximately 1% of the carbon from the product consumed to produce enzymes, and approximately 10% of the mass of the enzyme being produced will be respired during the production of that enzyme. Therefore, microbes will use  $\sim 1.1\%$  of the carbon consumed to produce enzymes. Carbon concentrations at BR (0.77 g C kg<sup>-1</sup>) and NOOK (0.29 g C kg<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 11) are below the SOM concentrations commonly found in soils (1.2-70.5 g C kg<sup>-1</sup>) (Batjes 1996; Bowles et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2009; Matsuura et al. 2012). Additionally, enzymes have a C:N ratio of ~ 3.5:1 and microbes need to consume products that have a C:N > 3.5:1 due to the relatively low carbon use efficiency (0.3-0.6) suggested for soil microbes (Allison 2014; Moorhead et al. 2013; Sinsabaugh et al. 2013). Due to the low SOM content and low C:N ratios found at BR and NOOK (<3.7:1), we speculate that the fungi at BR and NOOK are not actively producing MnP, which is precluding them from actively oxidizing Mn(II). Previous in vitro studies of microbes isolated from oligotrophic environments have lead researchers to conclude that the microbes have reduced genomes lost genes in order to adapt and survive in such conditions (Carini et al. 2013; García-Fernández et al. 2004; Williams et al. 2009). It is possible, that due to the extreme oligotrophic environments at BR and NOOK, microbes have lost the ability to produce extracellular enzymes, including MnP and haeme peroxidases. It is also important to note that Basidiomycetes and Zygomycetes may be producing MnP at sites like RCCR-AB and RCCR-LL where SOM concentrations and C:N ratios are higher than those found at CSPC-BR and CSPC-NOOK. Alternatively, BR and NOOK, and possibly other sites within CSPC, DBC and RCCR, may have lacked the recalcitrant carbon needed to

induce MnP production by Basidiomycetes and Zygomycetes. For example, MnP production by *Pleurotus sajor-caju* was increased with recalcitrant carbon sources and MnP was not detected with several carbon sources (Massadeh et al. 2010). Further research would need to be performed in order to verify which enzymes are actively participating in Mn(II) oxidation *in situ*.

#### **Chapter 5: Conclusion**

Previous studies have identified changes to microbial community diversity in reponse to anthropogenic impact in cave systems, while this study has focused on how anthropogenic sources of input can *specifically* alter biogeochemical cycling in cave systems, either directly or indirectly. This study has demonstrated that microbial processes, like Mn(II) oxidation, are limited by carbon sources, while direct carbon addition had significant impacts on the bacterial, archaeal, and fungal assemblages. By combining results from previous in vitro studies, this research was able to elucidate the mechanistic pathway in which microbes are actively oxidizing Mn(II) in situ. Identifying the enzymatic pathways utilized by microbes to oxidize Mn(II) allows for the determination of environmental parameters that may be inhibiting or enhancing the Mn(II)-oxidizing capability of those microbes. This research has important implications for groundwater health and the health of cave ecosystems, specifically those that are in urban areas or are used as show caves, as Mn(II) oxidation may be used as an indicator of contamination. Additionally, this research has potential to be used for sites that will benefit from increased Mn(II) oxidation rates, such as remediation sites for acid mine drainage systems or wastewater treatment plants.

S+   C+   A+   S   C   A   M   G   M+   G1   G1	acterial Mn oxidation	
BH Sites BR Sites MNF MM MM MM MM MM MM MM H H H H H H H H H H H H H	G+ Filter Sawdust CU Paper	Cotton Fung Hyph
CSPC K CSPC K Sites MNF WM WM WM MMF MDDK FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP	+	Υ
CSPC K Sites MNF WM WM N000K FCP LL LL H FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP		Υ
Sites MNF WM WM MM FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP		Z
WM NOOK FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP FCP	+	Υ
NOOK   +		۲ +
FCP LLL DBC CL LL LL LL LL HCP CL HCP HCP HCP HCP HCP HCP HCP HCP		N
LL DBC CL isites NNDG UPS CL H + + + H + + + H + + + + AC AC R AC AC AC AC AC AC AC AC AC AC		Z
DBC CL   sites NNDG   uPs UPs   UPs +   PDD +   AC +   PUD +   AC +   PUD +   AS SW   AB +	+	۲ +
sites NNDG UPS SD AC PUD CCR SW AB + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++	Υ
UPS SD AC PUD AC PUD AC AC AB + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		Z
SD AC PUD Sites AB AB + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		Z
AC PUD CCR SW AB + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+	N
PUD + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+	Υ
CCR SW Sites AB + + + + + +	+	۲ +
Sites AB + + + + + + +		Z
		Υ
WL		Z
+ + + LMMM	+	Υ

+Cu denotes the addition of Cu(II) to that carbon source

Table 1. Sites where carbon sources were incubated.

	Cave	Site/Treatment	<b>Observed OTUs</b>	Shannon	Simpson
		AB.B	2566	8.23	0.99
		AB.A	2078	7.83	0.98
		AB.C	2721	8.37	0.99
	рсср	AB.S	3335	8.44	0.99
	NUCK	WWWT.B	1552	7.43	0.97
		WWWT.G	1798	6.52	0.95
		WWWT.GCU	1846	7.44	0.98
		WWWT.M	1842	7.81	0.98
		LL.B	2053	7.53	0.98
		LL.A	2406	6.98*	0.95
		LL.C	1917	6.31*	0.93
		LL.S	2024	6.76*	0.96
	DBC	LL.GCU	1931	6.89*	0.97
		LL.M	1505	6.71*	0.97
		CL.B	2683	8.31	0.99
		CL.C	1839	5.52*	0.91*
Doctorio		CL.S	1342	5.78	0.94*
Dacteria		MNF.B	2137	8.02	0.98
		MNF.A	4050	7.28	0.95
		MNF.S	3534	7.08*	0.95*
		MNF.G	3270	7.74	0.98
		MNF.GCU	3483	8.43	0.98
		MNF.M	3517	8.45	0.99
		NOOK.B	2219	7.92	0.98
		NOOK.A	2899	7.08*	0.97
	CSPC	NOOK.C	2833	7.90	0.98
		NOOK.S	3944	8.47	0.98
		WM.B	1839	7.89	0.99
		WM.A	2246	6.01*	0.92
		WM.C	3213	6.46*	0.91*
		WM.S	2455	5.60*	0.89*
		WM.G	1112	5.92	0.95*
		WM.M	1812	7.19	0.97
		WM.MCU	2342	7.14	0.95
		AB.B	50	3.46	0.84
		PUD.B	70	3.75	0.86
	RCCR	PUD.GCU	58	3.68	0.87
Funci		PUD.M	51	3.75	0.87
rungi		PUD.SAW	33	1.65*	0.52
		LL.B	62	3.63	0.86
	DBC	LL.COT	54	1.12*	0.29*
		LL.GCU	59	3.22	0.78

Table 2. Alpha-diversity estimates at sites at CSPC, DBC, and RCCR.

	Cave	Site/Treatment	<b>Observed OTUs</b>	Shannon	Simpson
	DBC	LL.SAW	38	1.72*	0.57*
		MNF.B	63	1.76	0.42
		MNF.A	83	0.89	0.19
		MNF.C	100	2.64	0.61
		MNF.G	43	1.16	0.32
		MNF.M	112	3.38	0.73
Fungi	CSPC	MNF.SAW	48	1.92	0.53
		WM.B	97	4.32	0.91
		WM.COT	42	2.56	0.53
		WM.M	25	1.51	0.43
		NOOK.B	32	2.57	0.67
		NOOK.S	84	3.02	0.70
		BR.B	202	4.36	0.84

Table 2 (continued)

Significant differences in Shannon and Simpson diversity before and after treatments is denoted by \* Stimulation of Mn(II) oxidation by arabinose (.A), casamino acids (.C), succinic acid (.S), malt extract (.M), glucose (.G), glucose+Cu (.GCU), malt extract+Cu (.MCU), cotton (.COT), and sawdust (.SAW) Numbers represent average values for each calculation

	<b>D</b> · · ·		Ja	Bray-	Curtis	
	Pairwise	Comparisons	$\mathbb{R}^2$	<b>Pr(&gt;F)</b>	$\mathbf{R}^2$	<b>Pr(&gt;F)</b>
	AB.B	AB.A	0.250	0.003*	0.383	0.001*
	AB.B	AB.C	0.137	0.084	0.152	0.126
	AB.B	AB.S	0.204	0.001*	0.247	0.013*
	AB.B	CL.B	0.105	0.004*	0.364	0.003*
	AB.B	LL.B	0.088	0.024*	0.226	0.097
	AB.B	MNF.B	0.114	0.002*	0.142	0.005*
	AB.B	NOOK B	0.116	0.001*	0.146	0.006*
	ABB	WM B	0.102	0.004*	0.126	0.01*
	AB.B	WWWT.B	0.131	0.001*	0.149	0.004*
	CLB	CLC	0.310	0.003*	0.434	0.007*
	CLB	CLS	0.247	0.036*	0.379	0.022*
	CLB	LLB	0.079	0.05*	0.099	0.044*
	CLB	MNF B	0.032	0.903	0.026	0.894
	CL B	NOOK B	0.032	0.701	0.020	0.826
	CL B	WM B	0.041	0.551	0.030	0.615
	CL B	WWWT B	0.040	0.003*	0.137	0.015
	U B		0.100	0.005	0.164	0.000
			0.154	0.000	0.104	0.005
			0.150	0.001*	0.191	0.001
			0.137	0.001*	0.187	0.003
	LL.D	LL.GCU	0.170	0.001*	0.232	0.001*
	LL.D	LL.IVI	0.135	0.003*	0.220	0.001*
	LL.B	MINF.B	0.085	0.049*	0.101	0.055
Bacterial and	LL.B	NUUK.B	0.095	0.024*	0.116	0.022*
archaeal	LL.B	WM.B	0.075	0.067	0.092	0.068
communities	LL.B	WWWI.B	0.086	0.032*	0.087	0.072
	MNF.B	MNF.A	0.095	0.017*	0.124	0.011*
	MNF.B	MNF.S	0.076	0.121	0.094	0.092
	MNF.B	MNF.G	0.077	0.097	0.106	0.047*
	MNF.B	MNF.GCU	0.084	0.044*	0.101	0.053
	MNF.B	MNF.M	0.088	0.039*	0.108	0.044*
	MNF.B	NOOK.B	0.032	0.910	0.022	0.932
	MNF.B	WM.B	0.039	0.775	0.033	0.773
	MNF.B	WWWT.B	0.111	0.002*	0.139	0.006*
	NOOK.B	NOOK.A	0.108	0.189	0.098	0.353
	NOOK.B	NOOK.C	0.082	0.679	0.087	0.47
	NOOK.B	NOOK.S	0.102	0.239	0.119	0.189
	NOOK.B	WM.B	0.040	0.701	0.038	0.645
	NOOK.B	WWWT.B	0.126	0.001*	0.165	0.002*
	WM.B	WM.A	0.111	0.213	0.116	0.213
	WM.B	WM.C	0.166	0.023*	0.175	0.04*
	WM.B	WM.S	0.156	0.04*	0.193	0.026*
	WM.B	WM.G	0.124	0.046*	0.195	0.003*
	WM.B	WM.M	0.096	0.352	0.108	0.251
	WM.B	WM.MCU	0.120	0.064	0.140	0.08
	WM.B	WWWT.B	0.105	0.004*	0.133	0.008*
	WWWT.B	WWWT.G	0.246	0.013*	0.368	0.002*
	WWWT.B	WWWT.GCU	0.262	0.004*	0.375	0.002*
	WWWT.B	WWWT.M	0.145	0.125	0.153	0.173
	AB.B	BR.B	0.090	0.001*	0.184	0.002*
Fungal	AB.B	LL.B	0.070	0.170	0.065	0.428
communities	AB.B	MNF.B	0.079	0.035*	0.111	0.024*
	AB.B	NOOK.B	0.068	0.252	0.080	0.166

Table 3. PERMANOVA pairwise comparisons of microbial communities.

	Doimuiao	Componisons	Ja	ccard	Bray-	Curtis
	Pairwise	Comparisons	$\mathbb{R}^2$	<b>Pr(&gt;F)</b>	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>Pr(&gt;F)</b>
Fungal communities	AB.B	PUD.B	0.070	0.682	0.050	0.692
	AB.B	PUD.B	0.070	0.158	0.060	0.54
	AB.B	WM.B	0.059	0.682	0.050	0.692
	BR.B	LL.B	0.099	0.001*	0.189	0.001*
	BR.B	MNF.B	0.093	0.004*	0.223	0.001*
	BR.B	NOOK.B	0.084	0.014*	0.162	0.002*
	BR.B	PUD.B	0.082	0.022*	0.164	0.002*
	BR.B	WM.B	0.099	0.001*	0.185	0.001*
	LL.B	LL.COT	0.151	0.016*	0.153	0.09
	LL.B	LL.S	0.095	0.575	0.082	0.617
	LL.B	LL.GCU	0.099	0.485	0.110	0.318
	LL.B	LL.SAW	0.153	0.013*	0.148	0.11
	LL.B	MNF.B	0.095	0.001*	0.170	0.003*
	LL.B	NOOK.B	0.082	0.024*	0.095	0.104
	LL.B	PUD.B	0.076	0.083	0.099	0.073
	LL.B	WM.B	0.055	0.802	0.060	0.492
	MNF.B	MNF.A	0.026	0.981	0.008	0.994
	MNF.B	MNF.C	0.036	0.878	0.040	0.644
	MNF.B	MNF.G	0.022	0.993	0.016	0.968
	MNF.B	MNF.M	0.062	0.382	0.106	0.104
	MNF.B	MNF.SAW	0.116	0.018*	0.255	0.002*
	MNF.B	NOOK.B	0.086	0.009*	0.159	0.001*
	MNF.B	PUD.B	0.086	0.007*	0.162	0.006*
	MNF.B	WM.B	0.089	0.004*	0.147	0.006*
	NOOK.B	PUD.B	0.067	0.332	0.066	0.416
	NOOK.B	WM.B	0.083	0.012*	0.101	0.079
	PUD.B	PUD.GCU	0.092	0.976	0.062	0.986
	PUD.B	PUD.M	0.127	0.412	0.114	0.615
	PUD.B	PUD.SAW	0.163	0.029*	0.202	0.02*
	PUD.B	WM.B	0.061	0.595	0.058	0.559
	WM.B	WM.COT	0.235	0.333	0.220	0.400
	WM.B	WM.M	0.293	0.067	0.374	0.444

Table 3 (continued)

Before (.B) and after arabinose (.A), casamino acids (.C), succinic acid (.S), glucose (.G), glucose+Cu (.GCU), malt extract (.M), cotton (.COT), or sawdust (.SAW) \*denote significant P-value

Cave	Site	<b>Collection Date</b>	Temperature	pН	ORP	µs/cm	TDS	DO
		2/7/2015	12.52	7.71	262.80	0.38	0.25	8.82
		3/21/2015	13.36	7.12	319.20	0.44	0.29	8.49
		5/2/2105	13.51	6.72	119.80	0.44	0.29	9.94
	Laka	6/27/2015	13.00	6.58	231.20	0.30	0.20	8.46
	Lake	8/8/2015	13.35	7.24	395.20	0.32	0.21	7.82
		10/14/2015	13.85	7.32	495.20	0.42	0.32	6.81
		11/21/2015	13.64	7.37	367.50	0.43	0.33	8.65
_		1/10/2016	13.58	7.54	330.80	0.48	0.24	10.41
		2/7/2015	13.32	7.70	282.40	1.48	0.92	8.48
		3/21/2015	13.64	7.42	282.50	0.46	0.30	7.86
		5/2/2105	13.53	6.46	172.20	0.44	0.28	8.68
CEDC	Unweathered	6/27/2015	13.33	6.64	322.10	0.27	0.17	8.96
CSPC	Closet	8/8/2015	13.42	7.20	310.60	0.32	0.21	9.51
		10/14/2015	13.73	7.31	473.50	0.41	0.30	6.95
		11/21/2015	13.73	7.12	486.70	0.46	0.29	7.65
		1/10/2016	13.58	6.81	402.80	0.48	0.31	10.23
-		2/7/2015	13.84	7.40	249.80	0.49	0.32	9.04
		3/21/2015	13.70	7.09	327.00	0.47	0.30	8.85
		5/2/2105	13.55	6.49	160.80	0.44	0.29	9.08
		6/27/2015	13.78	6.72	363.70	0.53	0.35	8.95
	WIM	8/8/2015	13.82	7.31	356.70	0.54	0.35	9.22
		10/14/2015	13.58	7.58	512.60	0.33	0.30	8.23
		11/21/2015	13.69	7.34	485.50	0.40	0.30	9.76
		1/10/2016	13.64	6.78	432.70	0.48	0.31	11.65
		2/7/2015	11.77	8.08	190.10	0.69	0.46	9.53
		3/21/2015	12.03	7.67	163.80	0.27	0.17	9.70
		5/2/2105	12.16	6.68	453.70	0.30	0.19	9.01
	CI	6/27/2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	CL	8/8/2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		10/14/2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		11/21/2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		1/10/2016	12.24	7.65	348.60	0.31	0.20	8.28
-		2/7/2015	11.51	8.19	222.60	0.42	0.27	9.65
		3/21/2015	11.68	7.56	180.20	0.31	0.20	8.72
		5/2/2105	11.81	6.39	428.50	0.31	0.20	9.25
DPC	LICD	6/27/2015	11.84	7.28	402.50	0.29	0.19	10.66
DBC	USP	8/8/2015	11.92	7.76	570.00	0.31	0.20	10.65
		10/14/2015	12.18	7.79	534.40	0.35	0.24	8.76
		11/21/2015	11.97	7.81	489.60	0.33	0.26	9.23
		1/10/2016	11.71	7.72	432.60	0.31	0.20	9.20
-		2/7/2015	12.76	8.28	235.20	1.65	11.06	10.94
		3/21/2015	11.14	7.54	201.70	0.19	0.12	10.54
		5/2/2105	11.24	6.69	446.60	0.17	0.11	9.90
		6/27/2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	FCP	8/8/2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		10/14/2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		11/21/2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		1/10/2016	11.61	7.43	327.80	0.24	0.16	9.92

Table 4. Water chemistry field measurements at CSPC, DBC, and RCCR.

Cave	Site	<b>Collection Date</b>	Temperature	pН	ORP	µs/cm	TDS	DO
		2/7/2015	10.42	8.39	219.80	1.03	0.65	7.11
		3/21/2015	10.05	7.58	199.10	0.34	0.22	9.14
		5/2/2105	10.56	6.52	281.60	0.34	0.22	7.94
	Stala amita Duddla	6/27/2015	13.84	6.98	308.80	0.34	0.22	9.89
	Stalagille Fudule	8/8/2015	15.11	6.84	490.10	0.39	0.25	5.80
		10/14/2015	14.98	7.90	521.80	0.37	0.25	4.20
		11/21/2015	13.45	7.09	456.20	0.39	0.26	5.46
		1/10/2016	12.02	5.39	429.50	0.41	0.25	5.99
		2/7/2015	11.92	8.29	229.40	0.59	0.38	8.92
		3/21/2015	11.76	7.86	184.60	0.36	0.23	9.19
	Upper Pool	5/2/2105	11.73	7.40	309.40	0.35	0.23	9.05
DCCD		6/27/2015	11.81	7.25	426.00	0.37	0.24	9.13
KUUK		8/8/2015	12.02	7.43	500.00	0.36	0.23	9.70
		10/14/2015	12.44	7.41	567.80	0.28	0.18	7.42
		11/21/2015	12.14	7.83	412.40	0.30	0.22	8.12
		1/10/2016	11.95	7.88	326.80	0.32	0.21	7.88
		2/7/2015	11.68	8.49	222.50	0.39	0.25	9.28
		3/21/2015	11.62	7.51	246.30	0.30	0.19	8.91
		5/2/2105	11.66	6.56	307.50	0.28	0.18	9.04
	Daubla Duddla	6/27/2015	11.84	6.63	307.60	0.28	0.18	9.33
	Double Fudule	8/8/2015	12.08	8.13	488.30	0.28	0.18	9.80
		10/14/2015	12.09	7.27	556.90	0.36	0.23	6.24
		11/21/2015	11.94	7.63	478.30	0.36	0.25	7.25
		1/10/2016	11.80	7.79	402.10	0.35	0.23	8.90

1 auto J. 10	Sequenced	ST IIII	Ton three selected	Mov	0110***		
	Region	Bp	BLAST hits	Score	Cover	Identity	Accession
			Acremonium antacrticum stram LF389	1315	100%	99%	KM096192
	18S	730	Plectosphaerella sp. MF464	1315	100%	99%	KJ939312
CBM-S #4			Verticilium dahliae VdLs.17	1315	100%	99%	CP010980
			Uncultured fungus isolate OUT 52	939	94%	97%	KT328868
	ITS	590	Uncultured soil fungus clone A23	898	99%	94%	HM037659
			Uncultured verticillium	893	99%	94%	HG935549
			Alternaria sp. PMK2	1349	100%	99%	KT192438
	18S	733	Alternaria sp. Y17-1	1349	100%	99%	KP872520
			Alternaria sp. Y16-1	1349	100%	99%	KP872519
CBM-S #2	VTC -		Uncultured Pleosporales clone 8WF1cc01	905	100%	99%	GU910697
	ITS	493	Fungal sp. Strain OTU2	902	99%	99%	KT923239
			Uncultured Alternaria	902	99%	99%	HG936477
		18S 710	Dictyosporium heptasporum CBS 396.59	1306	100%	99%	DQ018082
	18 <b>S</b>		Dictyosporium toruloides CBS209.65	1306	100%	99%	DQ018081
			Dictyosporium alatum ATCC 34953	1306	100%	99%	DQ018080
CBM-RC #33	ITS	S 601	Dictyosporium toruloides CBS209.65	1007	91%	99%	DQ018093
			Dictyosporium sp. 19VA07	979	96%	97%	JX270548
			Dictyosporium toruloides CBS209.65	894	88%	97%	HF677181
			Uncultured fungus clone SZ030406	1358	99%	99%	FN557508
	18S	747	Trichosporon mycotoxinovorans strain CBS9756	1354	100%	99%	KF036718
MNF			Trichosporon guehoae strain CBS8521	1354	100%	99%	KF036717
Malt Citrate #14	ITS	ITS 524	Trichosporon mycotoxinovorans isolate 504481/2011	952	100%	99%	JQ266092
			Trichosporon mycotoxinivorans strain R-4272	952	100%	99%	FJ416595
			Trichosporon mycotoxinivorans ACBR HB 1175	952	100%	99%	NR07335

Table 5	i To	n three	BL/	AST.	hits	for	iso	lated	cultures
I auto L	· IU	p unce	DLL	TO L	mus	IUI	150	naitu	cultures

Table 5 (co	Fable 5 (continued)										
	Sequenced Region	Bp	Top three selected BLAST hits	Max Score	Query Cover	Identity	Accession				
MudTrap #18		1377	Flavobacterium oncorhynchi strain 631-08	2534	100%	99%	NR117031				
	16S		Flavobacterium oncorhynchi strain 646B- 08	2532	99%	99%	FR870076				
			Flavobacterium sp. YO64	2529	99%	99%	DQ778317				
<b>C</b> !44			Pseudomonas sp. FBF100	2355	100%	97%	HG805771				
Saw MNF	16S	1388	Pseudomonas sp. PN1059	2353	100%	97%	KC822799				
#5	100		Pseudomonas sp. SAP1059.2	2351	100%	97%	JX067736				

Phyla	Class	Order	Family	Genus	Source	
			Cellulomonadaceae	Cellulomonas		
		-	Corvnebacteriaceae	Corvnebacterium	-	
				Agromyces	-	
			Microbacteriaceae	Leifsonia		
				Microbacterium		
		Actinomycetales	Micrococcaceae	Arthrobacter	-	
a	a	-	Mycobacteriaceae	Mycobacterium	- (Carmichae	
cter	cter	•		Nocardia	2015)	
loba	loba		Nocardiaceae	Rhodococcus	/	
Actin Actin	vctin	-	Pseudonocardiaceae	Pseudonocardia	-	
	-	Streptomycetaceae	Streptomyces	-		
	Geodermatophilales	Geodermatophilaceae	Geodermatophilus	-		
		T / ·	Lapillicoccus	-		
			Intrasporangiaceae	Terrabacter		
	Micrococcales	Manalandari	Frigoribacterium	(Akob et a		
			Microbacteriaceae	Frondihabitans	2014)	
		Propionibacteriales	Propionibacteriaceae	Propionibacterium		
	Bacter- oidetes	Bacteroidales	Bacteroidaceae	Cytophaga	-	
	Flavo-	Flowebooteriales	Flowebesteriosses	Flavobacterium	(Carmichae	
stes	bacteria	Flavobacteriales	FlavoDacternaceae	Riemerella	and Braue 2015)	
oide	a	_	Chitinophagaceae	Terrimonas	2015)	
cter	cteri	_	Erythrobacteraceae	Erythrobacter	_	
$\mathbf{Ba}$	oba	Sphingobacteriales		Sphingobacterium		
	Sphing	1 0	Sphingomonadaceae	Sphingomonas	(Xuezheng al. 2008)	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Sphingopyxis	- (Carraiaha	
					and Braue	
			Bacillaceae	Exiguobacterium	2015)	
utes	III	-		Lysinibacillus		
Firmic	Baci	Bacillales	Paenibacillaceae	Brevibacillus	(Mayanna e al. 2015)	
Η			Planococcaceae	Planococcus	(Carmichae	
			Staphylococcaceae	Staphylococcus	2015)	
eobacteria roteobacteria	Caulobacterales	Caulobacteraceae	Brevundimonas bullata	(Santelli et a 2014)		
	bact	Caulobacterates	Caulobacteraceae	Caulobacter		
	roteo			Aurantimonas	(Carmichae	
Prot	hapre	Rhizobiales	Aurantimonadaceae	Fulvimarina	and Brau 2015)	
	Alţ	-	Bradyrhizobiaceae	Afinia	-	

-11		•	•	· · ·	• 1	• •	1 3 47 / 7	T\ • 1• •	1
Toblo 6	10	vonomio	00010nm	onto ot	nroutoliali	V 10010toc	1 1/11/1	$\Lambda$ $\Lambda$ $V$ $1$ $\Lambda$ $1$ $7$ $1$ $\eta$ $\eta$	hootorio
$\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{U}$ .	10	<b>AUHUHHU</b>	455121111	CHES OF	DIEVIOUSE	VISUALEL			DAULTIA.
					01010000	, 10010000		-/ 01110110111	

Phyla	Class	Order	Family	Genus	Source
			Bradyrhizobiaceae	Bosea thiooxydans	(Mariner et al. 2008)
			Hyphomicrobiaceae	Hyphomicrobium	(Carmichael
	obacteria	Rhizobiales		Pedomicrobium	and Brauer 2015)
				Methylobacterium	
			Phyllobacteriaceae	Mesorhizobium	(Bohu et al. 2015)
			Rhizobiaceae	Agrobacterium	
	rote			Rhizobium	
	napı			Shinella	
	Alpi			Sinorhizobium	
	7	Rhodobacterales		Methylarcula	
				Paracoccus	
			Rhodobacteraceae	Rhodobacter	
				Roseobacter	
				Sulfitobacter	
•		Aeromonadales	Aeromonadaceae	Aeromonas	•
		Burkholderiales	Alcaligenaceae	Achromobacter	(Carmichael and Brauer 2015)
	Betaproteobacteria		Burkholderiaceae	Burkholderia	
teria				Cupriavidus/- Ralstonia	
oba			Comamonadaceae	Albidiferax	
rote				Caldimonas	
Ч				Leptothrix	
				Variovorax	
			Oxalobacteraceae	Duganella	
				Janthinobacterium	
				Oxalicibacterium	
		-	Neisseriales Neisseriaceae	Chromobacterium	
	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales Gammabroteopacteria Enterobacteriales	Alteromonadaceae	Alteromonas	
				Marinobacter	
				Microbulbifer	
			Colwelliaceae	Colwellia	(Xuezheng e al. 2008)
			Pseudoalteromonadaceae	Pseudoalteromonas	
			Shewanellaceae	Shewanella	(Carmichael and Brauer 2015)
			Enterobacteriaceae	Citrobacter	
				Enterobacter	
				Escherichia	
				Klebsiella	
				Pantoea	
				Proteus	

Table 6 (	(continued)
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Phyla	Class	Order	Family	Genus	Source
	Proteobacteria Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriales	Enterobacteriaceae	Raoultella	(Santelli et al 2014)
		Oceanospirillales	Alcanivoracaceae	Alcanivorax	(Xuezheng et al. 2008)
		Oceanospirillales	Halomonadaceae	Halomonas	(Carmichael and Brauer 2015)
ria			Oceanospirillaceae	Marinomonas	(Xuezheng et al. 2008)
bacte				Oceanospirillum	(Carmichael and Brauer
otec		Pseudomonadales	Moraxellaceae	Acinetobacter	2015)
Pro				Pyschrobacter	(Xuezheng et al. 2008)
			Pseudomonadaceae	Pseudomonas	(Carmichael
		Vibrionales	Vibrionaceae	Vibrio	and Brauer 2015) (Ashassi- Sorkhabi et al. 2012)
		Xanthomonadales	Rhodanobacteraceae	Luteibacter	
			Xanthomonadaceae	Pseudoxanthomonas	

Phyla	Class	Order	Family	Genera	Source	
	Ascomy -cetes	Erysiphales	Erysiphaeceae	Oidium	(Carmichael and Brauer	
			Davidiellaceae	Cladosporium	2015)	
	-	Capnodiales		Davidiella tassiana	(Cahyani et al. 2008)	
		Incertae sedis	Incertae sedis	Leptosphaerulina	(Carmichael et al. 2015)	
			Didymosphaeriaceae	Paraconiothyrium	(Takano et al. 2006)	
				Paraphaerosphaeria	(Cahyani et al. 2008)	
			Incertae sedis	Phoma	(Carmichael	
	~			Pyrenochaeta	and Brauer	
	etes		Leptosphaeriacea	Coniothyrium	2015)	
	eomyc	Pleosporales		Leptosphaeria	(Cahyani et al. 2008)	
	Dothid			Ophiobolus	(Carmichael and Brauer 2015)	
			Phaeosphaeriaceae	Phaeosphaeriopsis	(Parchert et al. 2012)	
				Stagonospora	_	
ota				Alternaria	(Carmichael	
myc			Pleosporaceae	Bipolaris	2015)	
scol				Pithomyces	,	
A				Pleosporales	(Carmichael	
				Curvularia	(Timonin et	
					al. 1972)	
	Eurotiomycetes	Chaetothyrio-	Chaetothyriales	Cyphellophora	(Carmichael	
		mycetidae			and Brauer 2015)	
		etes				(Wei et al.
					Aspergillus	2012)
		Eurotiales	Trichocomaceae	Paecilomyces	(Timonin et	
				Penicillium	(del la Torre	
					and Gomez-	
					Alarcon	
					(Timonin et	
			Onygenaceae	Chrysosporium	al. 1972)	
	Sordariomycetes	Glomerellales	Plectosphaerellaceae	Plectosphaerellaceae	(Carmichael	
		Hypocreales	Нуросгеасеае	Acremonium	and Brauer 2015)	
				Gliocladium	2015)	
					(Timonin et	
		Sord		Incertae sedis	Cephalosporium	al. 1972)

Table 7. Taxonomic assignments of previously isolated Mn(II)-oxidizing fungi.

Phyla	Class	Order	Family	Genera	Source	
	dariomycetes	Hypocreales	Incertae sedis	Stilbella	(Carmichael and Brauer 2015)	
			Nectriaceae	Cylindrocarpon Fusarium	(Timonin et al. 1972)	
а				Nectria	(Carmichael and Brauer 2015)	
mycot		omyc	Magnaporthales	Magnaporthaceae	Gaeumannomyces	(Thompson et al. 2006)
scol		Microascales	Halosphaeriaceae	Periconia		
A	Sor	Ophiostomatales	Ophiostomataceae	Sporothrix	(11monin et al. 1972)	
		Sordariales	Chaetosphaeriaceae	Gonytrichum		
		X	Xylariales	Xylariaceae	Xylariales	(Miyata, Maruo, et al. 2006)
			Xylariomycetidae	Xylariales	Microdochium	(Carmichael and Brauer 2015)
	Agaricomycetes		Agaricaceae	Agaricus sp.	(Hofrichter 2002)	
				Coprinus		
				atramentarius		
				Lepiota spp.		
			Cortinariaceae	Cortinarius spp.	(Erden et al.	
			Entolomataceae	Leptonia lazunila	2009)	
			Inocybaceae	Inocybe spp.		
			Lyophyllaceae	Lyophyllum subglobisporium		
cota		Setes	Manageria	Clitocybula		
omy		myc	Ă La Agaricales	Marasiniaceae	Marasmius	
sidio		Iguiteules	Nidulariaceae	Cyathus	(Hofrichter	
Ba			Omphalotaceae	Lentinula	2002)	
			Physalacriaceae	Armillaria		
			Pleurotaceae	Pleurotus		
			Pluteaceae	Volvariella sp.	(Erden et al. 2009)	
			Psathyrellaceae	Panaeolus		
			Strophariaceae	Agrocybe spp.		
				Hypholoma	(Hofrichter	
				Kuehneromyces	2002)	
				Nematoloma		
				Stropharia		

## Table 7 (continued)

Phyla	Class	Order	Family	Genera	Source
	Agaricomycetes	Agaricales	Tricholomataceae	Clitocybe sp. Lepista nuda	Erden et al. 2009)
		Atheliales	Atheliaceae	Piloderma Tylospora	(Chen et al. 2001)
		Auriculariales	Auriculariaceae	Auricularia	(Hofrichter 2002)
		Boletales	Rhizopogonaceae	Rhizopogon luteus	(Erden et al. 2009)
			Serpulaceae	Serpula himantioides Trametes spp.	(Wei et al. 2012)
		Gomphales	Gomphaceae	Ramaria stricta	(Erden et al. 2009)
		Hymenochaetales	Hymenochaetaceae	Phellinus	
		Phallales	Phallaceae	Phallus	
			Fomitopsidaceae	Phaeolus	
		-	Ganodermataceae	Ganoderma carosum	
		-	Meripilaceae	Physisporinus	(Hofrichter
				Rigidoporus	
cota		•		Abortinorus hiennis	
mye			Meruliaceae	Rierkandera	
idio				Irper lacteus	
Bas				Morulius	
	tes	Polyporales		Dhhhir	
	Agaricomycet		Phanerochaetaceae	Phiebla	2002)
				Ceriporiopsis	-
				Phanerochaete chrysosporium/-	
		0		Sporotrichium	
				pulvervulentium	
			Polyporaceae	Coriolopsis	
				Dichomitus	
				Panus	
				Perenniporia	
		Russulales		Trametes	
			Bondarzewiaceae	Heterobasidion	
			Russulaceae	Lactarius delicious	(Erden et al. 2009)
				Russula spp.	
	Uredinio -mycetes	Sporidiales	Incertae Sedis	Rhodotorula graminis	(Staudigel et al. 2006)
Zygomycota	Mucorm y-cotina	Mucorales	Mucoraceae	Mucor	(Bonugli- Santos et al. 2009)

### Table 7 (continued)



Figure 1. Maps of Carter Saltpeter Cave (CSPC), Daniel Boone Caverns (DBC), and Rye Cove-Cox Ridge (RCCR). Maps modified with arrows to indicate sampling locations, + to indicate carbon incubations sites and \* to denote sites for water sampling. Regional map shows the relative location of the three caves. Cave survey of CSPC performed on 02/08/81 by L. Adams, R. Knight, R. Page, and T. Wilson. Initial cave survey of DBC conducted in 1969 by M. Starnes, B. Lucas, D. Breeding, C. Stowers, and B. Balfour, and an additional survey was conducted from July-November 1996. Two subsequent passages in DBC have not been surveyed. Cave survey of RCCR performed by Holsinger (1975).



Figure 2. Phyla-level distribution of bacteria and archaea with a 1% abundance cut-off (A) before stimulation (.B) and (B) shows averages of samples for each site and \* denote significant increases or decreases (P <0.05) in phyla before (.B) and after stimulation with with arabinose (FA), casamino acid (FC), succinic acid (FS), glucose (G), glucose+Cu (GCU), malt extract (M), malt extract+Cu (MCU).



Figure 3. Order-level abundance of bacteria and archaea with a 2% abundance cut-off before (.B) and after stimulation with arabinose (FA), casamino acid (FC), succinic acid (FS), glucose (G), glucose+Cu (GCU), malt extract (M), malt extract+Cu (MCU).



NMDS1

Figure 4. Beta-diversity analysis of baseline bacterial and archaeal communities at sites before incubations using the Jaccard similarity matrix and non-metric multidimensional scaling. Ellipses represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 5. Phyla-level distribution of fungal communities before (A) stimulation with carbon sources. (B) before (.B) and after stimulation with cotton (COT), sawdust (SAW), arabinose (FA), casamino acid (FC), succinic acid(FS), glucose (G), glucose+Cu (GCU), malt extract (M) with a 1% abundance cut-off. \* denote significant increases or decreases in phylum abundance after treatment with carbon source.


Figure 6. Order-level distribution of fungal communities before stimulation (.B) and after stimulation with cotton (COT), sawdust (SAW), arabinose (FA), casamino acid (FC), succinic acid(FS), glucose (G), glucose+Cu (GCU), malt extract (M) with a 1% abundance cut-off. \* denote significant increases or decreases in phylum abundance after treatment with carbon source.



Figure 7. Genera-level distribution of fungal communities before stimulation (.B) with carbon sources and after stimulation with cotton (COT), sawdust (SAW), arabinose (FA), casamino acid (FC), succinic acid (FS), glucose (G), glucose+Cu (GCU), malt extract (M) with a 1% abundance cut-off. \* denotes known Mn(II)-oxidizing fungi in the Ascomycota phylum and + denotes known Mn(II)-oxidizing fungi in the Basidiomycota phylum. \*\* denotes a new Mn(II)-oxidizing fungi from the Basidiomycota phylum isolated in this study.



Figure 8. Beta-diversity analysis of baseline fungal communities compared with the Jaccard similarity matrix and non-metric multidimensional scaling. Ellipses represent 95% confidence intervals. Shapes denote separate sites within each cave.



Figure 9. PCA of geochemical analysis performed on sites in CSPC, DBC, and RCCR. Blue shaded data points are CSPC sites, pink shaded data points are RCCR sites and red shaded data points are DBC sites.



Figure 10. Geochemical analysis of sites at CSPC, DBC, and RCCR where Mn(II) oxidation was stimulated, sites were oxidation was not stimulated (BR), sites where Mn(III/IV) oxides were naturally occurring, and sites where Mn(III/IV) oxides were not present. (A) shows Cu(II) concentrations at CSPC sites in blue shades, DBC sites in red shades, and RCCR sites in pink shades. (B) shows S and MnO concentrations and (C) displays Sr and MnO concentrations.



Figure 11. Nutrient concentrations at sites where Mn(II) oxidation was stimulated and where Mn(II) oxidation was not stimulated represented with \*. (a) shows TN% from sites (b) is of SOM concentrations at sites and (c) shows C:N ratio's calculated from TC% and TN%.



Figure 12. Ion concentrations from water samples collected from CSPC, DBC, and RCCR every six weeks from February 2015 to January 2016 and precipitation data from the Quality Controlled Local Climatological Data taken by NOAA at the Virginia Highlands Airport, VA.



Figure 13. Log transformed TOC concentrations ( $\mu$ g/L) from water samples collected approximately every six weeks from February 2015 to January 2016 at CSPC, DBC, and RCCR.







Figure 15. Beta-diversity analysis of sites before and after casamino acid treatments. Samples before (.B) and after treatment with casamino acids (.FC) were compared with the Jaccard similarity matrix and non-metric multidimensional scaling. Ellipses represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 16. Beta-diversity analysis of sites before and after arabinose treatments. Sites Before (.B) and after stimulation with arabinose (.FA) were plotted using the Jaccard similarity matrix and non-metric multidimensional scaling. Ellipses represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 17. Beta-diversity analysis of sites before and after succinic acid treatments. Before (.B) and after samples treated with succinic acid (.FS) were plotted using non-metric multidimensional scaling and the Jaccard similarity matrix. Ellipses represent 95% confidence intervals.



malt extract (M). () represents the level that the OTU could be identified to. (G) is genus, (F) is family, (O) is order, (C) (COT), sawdust (.SAW), arabinose (FA), casamino acid (FC), succinic acid (FS) glucose (G), glucose+Cu (GCU), ic clace (D) ic nhulum Numhere renrecent relative ahundance ac a %



Figure 19. Beta-diversity of fungal communities before (.B) and after sawdust (.SAW) treatments computed with the Jaccard similarity matrix and plotted with non-metric multidimensional scaling. Ellipses represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 20. Beta-diversity analysis of fungal communities before and after treatments with simple sugars. Calculated with the Jaccard similarity matrix of fungal communities before (.B) and after stimulation with glucose (.G), glucose+Cu (.GCU), or malt extract (.M) and plotted with non-metric multidimensional scaling. Ellipses represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 21. SEM images of two Mn(II)-oxidizing *Acremonium* species isolated from two different sites, one from RCCR-PUD and the other from CSPC-NOOK. EDS elemental analysis confirms Mn(III/IV) oxides surrounding fungal hyphae.





Figure 23. SEM images of Mn(II)-oxidizing *Plectosphaerella* sp. (top) and *Pleosporales* sp. (bottom) isolates from CSPC-MNF. EDS elemental analysis shows Mn(III/IV) oxides associated with the fungal hyphae.

## References

- Abarenkov K, Henrik Nilsson R, Larsson KH et al. (2010) The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi–recent updates and future perspectives. New Phytol 186(2): 281-285
- Adetutu EM, Thorpe K, Shahsavari E et al. (2012) Bacterial community survey of sediments at Naracoorte Caves, Australia. Int J Speleol 41(2): 2
- Akob DM, Bohu T, Beyer A et al. (2014) Identification of Mn(II)-oxidizing bacteria from a low-pH contaminated former uranium mine. Appl Environ Microb 80(16): 5086-5097
- Allison SD (2005) Cheaters, diffusion and nutrients constrain decomposition by microbial enzymes in spatially structured environments. Ecol Lett 8: 626-635
- Allison SD (2014) Modeling adaptation of carbon use efficiency in microbial communities. 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00571
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W et al. (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215(3): 403-410
- Andeer PF, Learman DR, McIlvin M et al. (2015) Extracellular heme peroxidases mediate Mn(II) oxidation in a marine *Roseobacter* bacterium via superoxide production. 10.1111/1462-2920-12893
- Ashassi-Sorkhabi H, Moradi-Haghighi M, Zarrini G (2012) The effect of *Pseudoxanthomonas* sp. as manganese-oxidizing bacterium on the corrosion behavior of carbon steel. Mat Sci Eng 32(2): 303-309
- Baldrian P, Valášková V (2008) Degradation of cellulose by basidiomycetous fungi. FEMS Microbiol Rev 32(3): 501-521

- Banh A, Chavez V, Doi J et al. (2013) Manganese (Mn) oxidation increases intracellular Mn in *Pseudomonas putida* GB-1. PLoS ONE 8(10): e77835
- Barnese K, Gralla EB, Valentine JS et al. (2012) Biologically relevant mechanism for catalytic superoxide removal by simple manganese compounds. PNAS 109(18): 6892-6897
- Barton HA, Jurado V (2007) What's up down there? Microbial diversity in caves. Microbe 2: 132-138
- Barton HA, Taylor NM, Kreate MP et al. (2007) The impact of host rock geochemistry on bacterial community structure in oligotrophic cave environments. Int J Speleol 36(2): 93-104
- Bastian F, Jurado V, Novakova A et al. (2010) The microbiology of Lascaux Cave. Microbiology 156: 644-652
- Batjes NH (1996) Total carbon and nitrogen in the soils of the world. European Journal of Soil Science 47: 151-163
- Birdwell JE, Engel AS (2010) Characterization of dissolved organic matter in cave and spring waters using UV-Vis absorbance and fluorescence spectroscopy. Org Geochem 41: 270-280
- Bohu T, Santelli CM, Akob DM et al. (2015) Characterization of pH dependent Mn(II) oxidation strategies and formation of a bixbyite-like phase by *Mesorhizobium australicum* T-G1. 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00734
- Bonugli-Santos RC, Durrant LR, da Silva M et al. (2009) Production of laccase, manganese peroxidase and lignin peroxidase by Brazilian marine-derived fungi. 10.1016/j.enzmictec.2009.07.014

- Bowles TM, Acosta-Martinez V, Calderon F et al. (2014) Soil enzyme activities, microbial communities, and carbon and nitrogen availability in orgranic agroecosystems across an intensively-managed agricultural landscape. Soil Biol Biochem 68: 252-262
- Brouwers GJ, Corstjens PLAM, De Vrind JPM et al. (2000) Stimulation of Mn(II) oxidation in *Leptothrix discophora SS-1* by Cu(II) and sequence analysis of the region flanking the gene encoding putative multicopper oxidase MofA. Geomicrobiol J 17(1): 25-33
- Brouwers GJ, de Vrind JPM, Corstjens PLAM et al. (1999) cumA, a gene encoding a multicopper oxidase, is involved in Mn(II) oxidation in *Pseudomonas putida GB-1*.
  Appl Environ Microb 65(4): 1762-1768
- Butterfield CN, Soldatova AV, Lee SW et al. (2013) Mn(II,III) oxidation and MnO<sub>2</sub> mineralization by an expressed bacterial multicopper oxidase. PNAS 110(29): 11731-11735
- Cahyani VR, Murase J, Ishibashi E et al. (2008) Phylogenetic positions of Mn(II)-oxidizing bcteria and fungi isolated from Mn nodules in rice field subsoils. Biol Fert Soils 45(4): 337-346
- Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J et al. (2010) QIIME allows analysis of highthroughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 7(5): 335-336
- Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA et al. (2012) Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. ISME 6(8): 1621-1624
- Carini P, Steindler L, Beszteri S et al. (2013) Nutrient requirements for growth of the extreme oligotroph *Candidatus* Pelagibacter ubique' HTCC1062 on a defined medium. ISME 7(3): 592-602

- Carmichael MJ, Carmichael SK, Santelli CM et al. (2013) Mn(II)-oxidizing bacteria are abundant and environmentally relevant members of ferromanganese deposits in caves of the upper Tennessee River Basin. Geomicrobiol J 30(9): 779-800
- Carmichael S, Carmichael M, Strom A et al. (2013) Sustained anthropogenic impact in Carter Saltpeter Cave, Carter County, Tennessee and the potential effects on manganese cycling. J Cave Karst Stud 75(3): 189-204
- Carmichael SK, Brauer SL (2015) Microbial diversity and manganese cycling: a review of Mn-oxidizing microbial cave communities. De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston
- Carmichael SK, Zorn BT, Roble LA et al. (2015) Nutrient input influences fungal community composition and size and can stimulate Mn(II) oxidation in caves. Environ Microbiol 7(4): 592-605
- Chang J, Tani Y, Naitou H et al. (2014) Zn(II) sequestration by fungal biogenic manganese oxide through enzymatic and abiotic processes. Chem Geol 383: 155-163
- Chaput DL, Hansel CM, Burgos WD et al. (2015) Profiling microbial communities in manganese remediation systems treating coal mine drainage. Appl Environ Microb 81(6): 2189-2198
- Chelius MK, Beresford G, Horton H et al. (2009) Impacts of alterations of organic inputs on the bacterial community within the sediments of Wind Cave, South Dakota, USA. Int J Speleol 38(1): 1-10
- Chen DM, Taylor AFS, Burke RM et al. (2001) Identification of genes for lignin peroxidases and manganese peroxidases in ectomycorrhizal fungi. New Phytol 152(1): 151-158

- Chen H, Marhan S, Billen N et al. (2009) Soil organic-carbon and total nitrogen stocks as affected by different land uses in Baden-Wurttemberg (southwest Germany). J Plant Nutr 172: 32-42
- del la Torre MA, Gomez-Alarcon G (1994) Manganese and iron oxidation by fungi isolated from building stone. Microb Ecol 27: 177-188
- DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N et al. (2006) Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. Appl Environ Microb 72(7): 5069-5072
- Dick GJ, Clement BG, Webb SM et al. (2009) Enzymatic microbial Mn(II) oxidation and Mn biooxide production in the Guaymas Basin deep-sea hydrothermal plume. Geochem Cosmochim Ac 73: 6517-6530
- Duckworth OW, Bargar JR, Sposito G (2008) Sorption of ferric iron from ferrioxamine B to synthetic and biogenic layer type manganese oxides. Geochem Cosmochim Ac 72(14): 3371-3380
- Duckworth OW, Bargar JR, Sposito G (2009) Coupled biogeochemical cycling of iron and manganese as mediated by microbial siderophores. Biometals 22(4): 605-613
- Edgar RC (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics 26(19): 2460-2461
- Edgar RC (2013) UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nat Methods 10(10): 996-998
- Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC et al. (2011) UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27(16): 2194-2200

- Eilers KG, Lauber CL, Knight R et al. (2010) Shifts in bacterial community structure associated with inputs of low molecular weight carbon compounds in soil. Soil Biol Biochem 42: 896-903
- El Gheriany IA, Bocioaga D, Hay AG et al. (2011) An uncertain role for Cu(II) in stimulating Mn(II) oxidation by *Leptothrix discophora* SS-1. Arch Microbiol 193(2): 89-93
- Erden E, Ucar MC, Gezer T et al. (2009) Screening for ligninolytic enymes from autochthonous fungi and applications for decolorization of remazole marine blue. Braz J Microbiol 40: 346-353
- García-Fernández JM, de Marsac NT, Diez J (2004) Streamlined regulation and gene loss as adaptive mechanisms in Prochlorococcus for optimized nitrogen utilization in oligotrophic environments. Microbiol Mol Biol R 68(4): 630-638
- Gerretson FC (1937) Manganese deficiency of oats and its relation to soil bacteria. Ann Bot-London (1): 207-230
- Geszvain K, McCarthy JK, Tebo BM (2013) Elimination of manganese(II,III) oxidation in *Pseudomonas putida GB-1* by a double knockout of two putative multicopper oxidase genes. Appl Environ Microb 79(1): 357-366
- Ghiorse WC, Hirsch P (1979) An ultrastructual study of iron and manganese deposition associated with extracellular polymers of *Pedomicrobium*-like budding bacteria. Arch Microbiol 123: 213-226
- Goldfarb KC, Karaoz U, Hanson CA et al. (2011) Differential growth responses of soil bacterial taxa to carbon substrates of varying chemical recalcitrance. 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00094

- Goncalves VN, Carvalho CR, Rose CA et al. (2014) Bioprospection of Antartic fungi: antibacterial, antifungal and antiprotozoa activities of fungal communities from different substrates from Antartica. Unpublished
- Gradzinksi M, Banas M, Uchman A (1995) Biogenic origin of manganese flowstones from Jaskinia Czarna Cave, Tatra Mts., western Carpathians. Annales Societatis Geologorum Poloniae 65: 19-27
- Griffin DW, Gray MA, Lyles MB et al. (2014) The transport of nonindigenous microorganisms into caves by human visitation: a case study at Carlsbad Caverns National Park. Geomicrobiol J 31(3): 175-185
- Hansel CM, Francis CA (2006) Coupled photochemical and enzymatic Mn(II) oxidation
  pathways of a planktonic *Roseobacter*-like bacterium. Appl Environ Microb 72(5):
  3543-3549
- Hansel CM, Zeiner CA, Santelli CM et al. (2012) Mn(II) oxidation by an ascomycete fungus is linked to superoxide production during asexual reproduction. PNAS 109(31): 12621-12625
- Harrington JM, Parker DL, Bargar JR et al. (2012) Structural dependence of Mn complexation by siderophores: donor group dependence on complex stability and reactivity. Geochem Cosmochim Ac 88: 106-119

Hayes MA (2012) The Geomyces fungi: ecology and distribution. BioScience 62(9): 819-823

- Hofer C, Schlosser D (1999) Novel enzymatic oxidation of Mn(II) to Mn(III) catalyzed by a fungal laccase. Federation of European Biochemical Societies 451: 186-190
- Hofrichter M (2002) Review: lignin conversion by manganese peroxidase (MnP). Enzyme Microb Tech 30: 454-466

- Hofrichter M, Ullrich R, Pecyna MJ et al. (2010) New and classic families of secreted fungal heme peroxidases. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 87(3): 871-897
- Holsinger JR (1975) Descriptions of Virginia Caves. Virginia Division of Mineral Resources, Charlottesville, VA
- Ikner LA, Toomey RS, Nolan G et al. (2007) Culturable microbial diversity and the impact of tourism in Kartchner Caverns, Arizona. Microb Ecol 53(1): 30-42
- Jones DS, Lyon EH, Macalady JL (2008) Geomicrobiology of biovermiculations from Frassai cave system, Italy. J Cave Karst Stud 70(2): 78-93
- Jurado V, Porca E, Cuezva S et al. (2010) Fungal outbreak in a show cave. Science of the Total Environment 408(17): 3632-3638
- Kruskal JB (1964) Multidimensional scaling by optimizing goodness to fit to a nonmetric hypothesis. Psychometrika 29: 1-27
- Kuan I, Johnson KA, Tien M (1993) Kinetic analysis of manganese peroxidases. J Biol Chem 268(27): 20064-20070
- Kubicek CP, Bölzlbauer UM, Kovacs W et al. (1996) Cellulase formation by species of *Trichoderma sect. longibrachiatum* and of *Hypocrea spp*. with anamorphs referable to *Trichoderma sect. longibrachiatum*. Fungal Genet Biol 20(2): 105-114
- Larsen EI, Sly LI, McEwan AG (1999) Manganese(II) adsorption and oxidation by whole cells and a membrane fraction of *Pedomicrobium* sp. ACM 3067. Arch Microbiol 171(4): 257-264
- Learman DR, Voelker BM, Madden AS et al. (2013) Constraints on superoxide mediated formation of manganese oxides. Front Microbiol 4: 1-11

- Learman DR, Voelker BM, Vazquez-Rodriguez AI et al. (2011) Formation of manganese oxides by bacterially generated superoxide. Nature Geoscience 4: 95-98
- Luther GW (2010) The role of one- and two-electron transfer reactions in forming thermodynamically unstable intermediates as barriers in multi-electron redox reactions. Aquatic Geochemistry 16(3): 395-420
- Madison AS, Tebo BM, Mucci A et al. (2013) Abundant porewater Mn(III) is a major component of the sedimentary redox system. Science 341(6148): 875-878
- Mandal SD, Panda AK, Lalnunmawii E et al. (2015) Illumina-based analysis of bacterial community in Khuangcherapuk cave of Mizoram, Northeast India. Genom Data 5: 13-14
- Mandal SD, Sanga Z, Kumar NS (2015) Metagenome sequencing reveals Rhodococcus dominance in Farpuk Cave, Mizoram, Inidia, an eastern Himalayan biodiversity hot spot region. Genome Announc 3(3): e00610-00615
- Mariner R, Johnson DB, Hallberg KB (2008) Charaterisation of an attenuation system for the remediation of Mn(II) contaminated waters. Hydrometallurgy 94: 100-104
- Masella AP, Bartram AK, Truszkowski JM et al. (2012) PANDAseq: paired-end assembler for illumina sequences. BMC bioinformatics 13(1): 31
- Massadeh MI, Fraij A, Fandi K (2010) Effect of carbon sources on the extracellular lignocellulolytic enzymetic system of *Pleurotus sajor-caju*. Jordan J Biol Sci 3(2): 51-54
- Matsuura S, Sasaki H, Kohyama K (2012) Organic carbon stocks in grassland soils and their spatial distribution in Japan. Japanese Society of Grassland Science 58: 79-93

- Mayanna S, Peacock CL, Schäffner F et al. (2015) Biogenic precipitation of manganese oxides and enrichment of heavy metals at acidic soil pH. Chem Geol 402: 6-17
- McDonald D, Clemente JC, Kuczynski J et al. (2012) The Biological Observation Matrix (BIOM) format or: how I learned to stop worrying and love the ome-ome. GigaScience 1(1): 7
- McMurdie PJ, Holmes S (2013) phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS ONE 8(4): e61217
- Mendoza MSZ, Lundberg J, Ivarsson M et al. (2016) Metagenomic analysis from the interior of a speleothem in Tjuv-Ante's Cave, Northern Sweden. PLoS ONE 11(3): e0151577
- Miyata N, Maruo K, Tani Y et al. (2006) Production of biogenic manganese oxides by anamorphic Ascomycete fungi isolated from streambed pebbles. Geomicrobiol J 23: 63-73
- Miyata N, Tani Y, Maruo K et al. (2006) Manganese(IV) oxide production by *Acremonium sp.* strain KR21-2 and extracellular Mn(II) oxidase activity. Appl Environ Microb 72(10): 6467-6473
- Moorhead DL, Lashermes G, Sinsabaugh RL et al. (2013) Calculating co-metabolic costs of lignin decay and their impacts on carbon use efficiency. Soil Biol Biochem 66: 17-19
- Mulec J, Krištůfek V, Chroňáková A (2012) Comparative microbial sampling from eutrophic caves in Slovenia and Slovakia using RIDA ®COUNT test kits. Int J Speleol 41(1): 1-8
- Neuwirth R (2014) RColorBrewer: ColorBrewer palettes

Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R et al. (2016) Vegan: community ecology package

- Ortiz M, Neilson JW, Nelson WM et al. (2012) Profiling bacterial diversity and taxonomic composition on speleothem surfaces in Kartchner Caverns, AZ. Environ Microbiol 65(2): 371-383
- Palma C, Martinez AT, Lema JM et al. (2000) Differential fungal manganese-oxidizing peroxidases: a comparison between *Bjerkandera* sp. and *Phanerochaete chrysporium*.
  J Biotechnol 77: 235-245
- Parchert KJ, Spilde MN, Porras-Alfaro A et al. (2012) Fungal communities associated with rock varnish in Black Canyon, New Mexico: Casual inhabitants or essential partners? Geomicrobiol J 29(8): 752-766
- Parker DL, Morita T, Mozafarzade ML et al. (2007) Inter-relationships of MnO<sub>2</sub> precipitation, siderophore-Mn(III) complex formation, siderophore degradation, and iron limitation in Mn(II)-oxidizing bacterial cultures. Geochem Cosmochim Ac 71: 5672-5683
- Post JE (1999) Manganese oxide minerals: crystal structures and economic and environmental significance. PNAS 96: 3347-3454
- Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP (2010) FastTree 2-Approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. PLoS ONE 5(3): e9490
- Sáiz-Jimenez C, Miller AZ, Martin Sanchez PM et al. (2012) Uncovering the origin of the black stains in Lascaux Cave in France. Environ Microbiol 14(12): 3220-3231
- Santelli CM, Chaput DL, Hansel CM (2014) Microbial communities promoting Mn(II) oxidation in Ashumet Pond, a historically polluted freshwater pond undergoing remediation. Geomicrobiol J 31: 605-616

- Santelli CM, Pfister DH, Lazarus D et al. (2010) Promotion of Mn(II) oxidation and remediation of coal mine drainage in passive treatment systems by diverse fungal and bacterial communities. Appl Environ Microb 76(14): 4871-4875
- Santelli CM, Webb SM, Dohnalkova AC et al. (2011) Diversity of Mn oxides produced by Mn(II)-oxidizing fungi. Geochem Cosmochim Ac 75(10): 2762-2776
- Sasaki K, Matsuda M, Urata T et al. (2008) Sorption of Co(II) Ions on the biogenic Mn oxide produced by a Mn-Oxidizing Fungus, *Paraconiothyrium sp.* WL-2. Mater Trans 49(3): 605-611
- Schlesner H, Jenkins C, Staley JT (2006) The phylum Verrucomicrobia: a phylogenetic heterogeneous bacterial group. Springer New York, New York
- Schlosser D, Hofer C (2002) Laccase-catalyzed oxidation of Mn(II) in the presence of natural Mn(III) chelators as a novel source of extracellular H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production and its impact on manganese peroxidase. Appl Environ Microb 68(7): 3514-3521
- Shabarova T, Villiger J, Morenkov O et al. (2014) Bacterial community structure and dissolved organic matter in repeatedly flooded subsurface karst water pools. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 89(1): 111-126
- Shapiro J, Pringle A (2010) Anthropogenic influences on the diversity of fungi isolated from caves in Kentucky and Tennessee. Am Midl Nat 163(1): 76-86
- Silva Taylor EL, de Resende Stoianoff MA, Ferreira RL (2013) Mycological study for a management plan of a neotropical show cave (Brazil). Int J Speleol 42(3): 267-277
- Simon KS, Buikema Jr AL (1997) Effects of organic pollution on an Appalachian cave: changes in macroinvertebrate populations and food supplies. Am Midl Nat 138(2): 387-401

- Sinsabaugh RL, Manzoni S, Moorhead DL et al. (2013) Carbon use efficiency of microbial communities: stoichiometry, methodology and modelling. Ecol Lett 16(7): 930-939
- Sobota JM, Imlay JA (2011) Iron enzyme ribulose-5-phosphate 3-epimerase in *Escherichia coli* is rapidly damaged by hydrogen peroxide but can be protected by manganese.
   PNAS 108(13): 5402-5407
- Soldatova AV, Butterfield C, Oyerinde OF et al. (2012) Multicopper oxidase involvement in both Mn(II) and Mn(III) oxidation during bacterial formation of MnO<sub>2</sub>. J Biol Inorg Chem 17(8): 1151-1158
- Spilde MN, Northup DE, Boston PJ et al. (2005) Geomicrobiology of cave ferromanganese deposits: a field and laboratory investigation. Geomicrobiol J 22(3-4): 99-116
- Staudigel H, Hart SR, Pile A et al. (2006) Vailulu'u Seamount, Semoa: Life and death on an active submarine volcano. PNAS 103(17): 6448-6453
- Su J, Deng L, Huang L et al. (2014) Catalytic oxidation of manganese(II) by multicopper oxidase CueO and characterization of the biogenic Mn oxide. Water Res 56: 304-313
- Sunda WG, Kieber DJ (1994) Oxidation of humic substances by manganese oxides yields low-molecular-weight organic substrates. Nature 367(6458): 62-64
- Takano K, Itoh Y, Ogino T et al. (2006) Phylogenetic analysis of manganese-oxidizing fungi isolated from manganese-rich aquatic environments in Hokkaido, Japan. Limnology 7(3): 219-223
- Tang Y, Zeiner CA, Santelli CM et al. (2013) Fungal oxidative dissolution of the Mn(II)bearing mineral rhodochrosite and the role of metabolites in manganese oxide formation. Environ Microbiol 15(4): 1063-1077

Team RC (2015) R: a language and environment for statistical computing

- Tebo BM, Bargar JR, Clement BG et al. (2004) Biogenic manganese oxides: properties and mechanisms of formation. Annu Rev Earth Pl Sc 32(1): 287-328
- Thomas F, Hehemann JH, Rebuffet E et al. (2011) Environmental and gut bacteroidetes: the food connection. 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00093
- Thompson IA, Huber DM, Schulze DG (2006) Evidence of a multicopper oxidase in Mn oxidation by *Gaeumannomyces grainis* var. *tritici*. Biochemistry and Cell Biology 96(2): 130-136
- Timonin MI, Illman WI, Hartgerink T (1972) Oxidation of magnanous salts of manganse by soil fungi. Can J Microbiol 18: 793-799
- Trouwborst RE, Clement BG, Tebo BM et al. (2006) Soluble Mn(III) in suboxic zones. Science 313(5795): 1955-1957
- Urzua U, Kersten PJ, Vicuna R (1998) Kinetics of Mn(III)-oxalate formation and decay in reactions catalyzed by manganese peroxidase of *Ceriporiopsis subvermispora*. Arch Biochem Biophys 360(2): 215-222
- van Bemmelen JM (1891) Ueber die Bestimmungen des Wassers, des Humus, des Schwefels, der in den Colloidalen Silikaten gebunden Kieselsaeueren, des manganese, u.s.w. im Ackerboden. Landwirtschaftliche Versuch Station 37: 279-290
- van Beynen P, Ford D, Schwarcz H (2000) Seasonal variability in organic substances in surface and cave waters at Marengo Cave, Indiana. Hydrol Process 14(7): 1177-1197
- van Waasbergen LG, Hildebrand M, Tebo BM (1996) Identification and characterization of a gene cluster involved in manganese oxidation by spores of the marine *Bacillus* sp.
   strain SG-1. J Bacteriol 178(12): 3517-3530

- Vanderwolf KJ, Malloch D, McAlpine DF et al. (2013) A world review of fungi, yeasts, and slime molds in caves. Int J Speleol 42(1): 77-96
- Wan X, Huang Z, He Z et al. (2015) Soil C:N ratio is the major determinant of soil microbial community structure in subtropical coniferous and broadleaf forest plantations. Plant Soil 387: 103-116
- Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM et al. (2007) Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment for rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl Environ Microb 73(16): 5261-5267
- Wang W, Ma X, Ma Y et al. (2010) Seasonal dynamics of airborne fungi in different caves of the Mogao Grottoes, Dunhuang, China. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation 64(6): 461-466
- Wariishi H, Valli K, Gold MH (1992) Manganese oxidation by manganese peroxidase from basidiomycete *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*. J Biol Chem 267(33): 23688-23695
- Wei Z, Hillier S, Gadd GM (2012) Biotransformation of manganese oxides by fungi: solubilization and production of manganese oxalate biominerals. Environ Microbiol 14(7): 1744-1752
- Wickham H (2009) ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis
- Williams TJ, Ertan H, Ting L et al. (2009) Carbon and nitrogen substrate utilization in the marine bacterium *Sphingopyxis alaskensis* strain RB2256. ISME 3(9): 1036-1052
- Wu Y, Tan L, Liu W et al. (2015) Profiling bacterial diversity in a limestone cave of the western Loess Plateau of China. 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00244

- Xuezheng L, Aiguo G, Haowen C (2008) Isolation and phylogenetic analysis of cultivable manganese bacteria in sediments from the Arctic Ocean. Acta Ecologica Sinica 28(12): 6364-6370
- Yin H, Liu F, Feng X et al. (2011) Co(II)-exchange mechanism of birnessite and its application for the removal of Pb(II) and As(III). J Hazard Mater 196: 318-326
- Zhang N, Castlebury LA, Miller AN et al. (2006) An overview of the systematics of the Sordariomycetes based on a four-gene phylogeny. Mycologia 98(6): 1076-1087
- Zhang X, Xu S, Li C et al. (2014) The soil carbon/nitrogen ratio and moisture affect microbial community structures in alkaline permafrost-affected soils with different vegetation types on the Tibetan plateau. Res Microbiol 165(2): 128-139
- Zorn BT (2014) Illumina sequencing of fungal assemblages reveals compositional shifts as a result of nutrient loading within cave sediments. M.S. Thesis: 56

## Vita

Mara Lee Cashay Cloutier was born in Port Charlotte, Florida. She graduated from Asheville-Buncombe Technical Community College in Asheville, NC, in 2011, and went on to complete a Bachelor's Degree in Biology from the University of North Carolina-Wilmington in the spring of 2014. In the summer of 2014, she started to pursue her Master's in the Science of Cell and Molecular Biology with Dr. Suzanna Bräuer, which she completed in August of 2016.